




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : G07D 7/00		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/50892 (43) International Publication Date: 12 November 1998 (12.11.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/08326			(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GM, GW, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
(22) International Filing Date: 24 April 1998 (24.04.98)			
(30) Priority Data:			
08/852,400	7 May 1997 (07.05.97)	US	
60/075,991	25 February 1998 (25.02.98)	US	
(71) Applicant: CUMMINS-ALLISON CORP. [US/US]; 891 Feehanville Drive, Mount Prospect, IL 60056 (US).			
(72) Inventors: MAZUR, Richard, A.; 1508 Culpepper Drive, Naperville, IL 60540 (US). CSULITS, Frank, S.; 18192 Banbury Drive, Grunee, IL 60031 (US). MENNIE, Douglas, U.; 229 Wood Street, Barrington, IL 60010 (US).			
(74) Agent: RUDISILL, Stephen, G.; Arnold, White & Durkee, P.O. Box 4433, Houston, TX 77210 (US).			
			Published <i>With international search report.</i>

(54) Title: INTELLIGENT CURRENCY HANDLING SYSTEM



(57) Abstract

A currency handling system (10) adapted to accommodate currencies of any denomination or type without having been pre-programmed with data representative of the denominations or types. The currency handling system is capable of generating such data internally, by scanning sets of master currency bills to obtain information representative of the master bills which may be used to denominate or authenticate subsequent test bills according to selected or default sensitivity levels. The master currency bills may comprise bills of different currency types, including bills from different countries. The master information may comprise numerical and/or non-numerical data. The denomination or authentication of the test bills is based on a comparison of either pre-stored or self-generated master information with scanned data values associated with the test bills. Master information derived by one machine may be quickly and efficiently loaded into one or more secondary machines through a flash card loading system (56).

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

INTELLIGENT CURRENCY HANDLING SYSTEM

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to the field of currency handling systems and, more particularly, to a currency handling system having the capability to learn to accommodate new types of currency bills, analyze selected attributes of the bills and
5 independently generate master information associated with the selected attributes which may be used in evaluating subsequent currency bills.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

A variety of techniques and apparatus have been used to satisfy the requirements of automated currency handling machines. At the upper end of sophistication in this area
10 of technology are machines which are capable of rapidly identifying, discriminating and counting multiple currency denominations. This type of machine, hereinafter designated as a "denomination discriminator," typically employs either magnetic sensing or optical sensing for identifying the denominations of bills in a stack and discriminating between different currency denominations. At a lower level of sophistication in this area are
15 machines which are designed to rapidly count the number of currency bills in a stack, but which are not designed to identify or discriminate among multiple currency denominations. This type of machine, hereinafter designated as a "counter," may include magnetic or optical sensors sufficient to enable it to discriminate between acceptable and non-acceptable bills (including counterfeit bills) in a stack of bills having a known
20 denomination, but do not permit the machine to identify the denomination of bills or discriminate among multiple denominations of currency. Consequently, counters do not generally "know" what denomination they are counting until they are informed of the particular denomination by an external signal or operator.

Whether employed in a denomination discriminator or counter, magnetic sensing
25 is based on detecting the presence or absence of magnetic ink in portions of the printed indicia on the currency by using magnetic sensors, usually ferrite core-based sensors, and using the detected magnetic signals, after undergoing analog or digital processing, as the basis for discrimination. The more commonly used optical sensing technique, on the other hand, is based on detecting and analyzing variations in light reflectance or
30 transmissivity characteristics occurring when a currency bill is illuminated and scanned

by a strip of focused light. The subsequent currency discrimination is based on the comparison of sensed optical or magnetic characteristics with prestored parameters relating to different currency denominations, while accounting for adequate tolerances reflecting differences among bills of a given denomination. Similarly, the acceptance or rejection of a bill is based on the comparison of sensed optical or magnetic characteristics with prestored parameters defining an acceptable bill, while accounting for adequate tolerances reflecting differences among bills of a given denomination.

Currency handling machines (*e.g.*, denomination discriminators or counters) known in the art typically include a system memory for storing sets of stored master information associated with characteristics of the various currency denominations to be evaluated or counted. The types of master information stored in the system memory generally depend upon the denominations and types of currency which a machine is designed to accommodate. For example, it has been found that master information obtained from an optical scanning technique may be used to discriminate between different denominations of U.S. currency. An example of a currency handling machine using an optical scanning technique is described in U.S. Patent No. 5,295,196, issued March 15, 1994 to Rateman et al. and assigned to Cummins-Allison Corporation, incorporated herein by reference. Currency handling machines designed to accommodate currencies of other countries may utilize different sets of stored master information to correspond to different characteristics of the foreign bills. For example, while all denominations of U.S. currency are the same size, in many other countries currencies vary in size by denomination. Furthermore, there is a wide variety of bill sizes among different countries. In addition to size, the color of currency can vary by country and by denomination. Likewise, many other characteristics may vary between bills of different countries and of different denominations.

The types or denominations of currency which a machine is able to accommodate is dependent on the content of the master information which it includes in system memory. For example, a machine designed for U.S. markets may be programmed with master information associated with magnetic and/or optical characteristics of U.S. currency, while a machine designed for a foreign market may be programmed with master information associated with the size and/or color of the foreign currency. A

machine designed to handle bills of one country generally can not handle bills from another country unless it has been provided with master information appropriate for both countries. Once programmed with the appropriate master information, the system memory may require periodic updates or supplements in order to reflect the most recent optical or magnetic characteristics of the various currency denominations to be evaluated, which may occur, for example, upon the issuance of a new series of bills.

Heretofore, the encoding or updating of master information into the system memory of currency evaluation machines (*e.g.*, discrimination machines or counters) has been accomplished externally from the machine, typically at a factory or service center.

For example, in currency evaluation machines employing memory chips such as erasable programmable read only memories (EPROMs), the chips are typically programmed or updated at the factory or service center and either installed in the machine at the factory or, in the case of updates, shipped to the customer or service personnel for re-installation in the machine. An alternative method of encoding or updating prestored parameters may be utilized in discrimination machines employing "flash card" technology, such as described in U.S. patent application serial number 08/715,029, assigned to the assignee of the present invention and incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. In such a "flash card" loading system, a flash card is programmed with the desired code and the machine may be encoded or updated by inserting the flash card into the machine, causing the system memory to become replaced with the flash card memory. Nevertheless, in either of the above prior systems, the source of the code is external to the machine, typically at the factory or service center level, and the discrimination capability of a particular machine is limited to only those bills associated with the pre-stored master information with which it has been programmed.

Accordingly, in view of the above-described problems, there is a need for a currency handling system that is able to accommodate currencies of several denominations and types, including multiple denominations and types of foreign currencies, without having been externally programmed or updated with pre-stored master information associated with those denominations and types. The present invention is directed to satisfying these needs.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with one aspect of the present invention, there is provided a currency handling system operable in a learn mode in which a set of master currency bills are processed by a primary machine to obtain master information associated with the master currency bills. The master currency bills may comprise bills from a "family" of multiple countries. The master information is stored in the memory of the primary machine and includes data which may be used to evaluate subsequent currency bills. In one embodiment, the master information comprises thresholds of acceptability or master patterns which may be used to evaluate subsequent currency bills. Once learned, the master information may be copied from the memory of the primary machine to the memory of one or more secondary machines. In either the primary or secondary machine, a test bill comprising a bill from the designated "family" of countries having an unknown denomination or authenticity is processed to obtain test data. The denomination and/or authenticity of the test bill is determined by comparing the test data to the master information.

In accordance with another aspect of the present invention, there is provided a software loading system which may be used to copy master information and other data from a primary currency handling machine to a secondary currency handling machine. A flash card having a flash memory therein is removably electrically coupled to the resident flash memory of the primary currency handling machine. Master information, which may include master information obtained in learn mode from a designated "family" of countries, is copied from the resident flash memory of the primary currency handling machine to the flash card memory in response to the flash card being electrically coupled to the primary machine. The flash card retains the master information after being removed from the primary machine. The flash card may then be removably electrically coupled to a secondary machine, causing the master information to be copied from the flash card memory to the resident flash memory in the secondary machine.

In accordance with another aspect of the present invention, there is provided a software loading system which may be used to replace a first set of master information stored in a currency handling machine with a second set of master information. The first and second sets of master information may include master information from a respective

first and second "family" of countries. A flash card having a flash memory therein containing the second set of master information is removably electrically coupled to the resident flash memory of a currency handling machine having a flash memory initially containing the first set of master information. The second set of master information is
5 copied from the flash card memory to the resident flash memory of the currency handling machine, thereby replacing the first set of master information with the second set of master information, in response to the flash card being electrically coupled to the machine.

In accordance with yet another aspect of the present invention, there is provided a
10 system for normalizing master information obtained from a primary currency handling machine and normalizing test data obtained from secondary machines to account for measurement biases between individual machines. A substantially similar reference object in each machine is processed by each respective machine to obtain a reference data value associated with each machine. Master information and/or test data obtained from
15 each respective machine are normalized by dividing them by the reference data value associated with each respective machine. Denomination and/or authentication of test bills is performed by comparing normalized master information to normalized test data.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The foregoing and other advantages of the invention will become apparent upon
20 reading the following detailed description and upon reference to the drawings in which:

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a currency handling system embodying principles of the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a graphical illustration of representative characteristic patterns generated by optical scanning of a U.S. \$1 currency bill;

25 FIG. 3a is a perspective view of a single-pocket currency handling system according to one embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 3b is a side sectional view of the single-pocket currency handling system of FIG. 3a depicting various transport rolls in side elevation;

FIG. 4a is a perspective view of a two-pocket currency handling system according
30 to one embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 4b is a side sectional view of the two-pocket currency handling system of FIG. 4a depicting various transport rolls in side elevation;

FIG. 5 is a side sectional view of a three-pocket currency handling system depicting various transport rolls in side elevation;

5 FIG. 6 is a side sectional view of a four-pocket currency handling system depicting various transport rolls in side elevation;

FIG. 7 is a side sectional view of a six-pocket currency handling system depicting various transport rolls in side elevation;

10 FIG. 8 is a functional block diagram illustrating one embodiment of the currency handling system according to the present invention;

FIGS. 9a and 9b are isometric views depicting the insertion of a flash card into a currency handling machine according to one embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 10 is a block diagram showing the connection of a currency handling machine to a cash settlement machine according to one embodiment of the present
15 invention;

FIG. 11 is a block diagram of a digital size detection system which may be used in the currency handling system of FIG. 8;

FIG. 12 is a timing diagram illustrating the operation of the size detection method of FIG. 11;

20 FIG. 13 is a block diagram of an analog size detection system which may be used in the currency handling system of FIG. 8;

FIG. 14 is a simplified top view of a size and position sensing system which may be used in the currency handling system of FIG. 8; and

FIG. 15 is a simplified side view illustrating the operation of the size and position
25 sensing system of FIG. 14.

While the invention is susceptible to various modifications and alternative forms, specific embodiments have been shown by way of example in the drawings and will be described in detail herein. However, it should be understood that the invention is not intended to be limited to the particular forms disclosed. Rather, the invention is to cover
30 all modifications, equivalents, and alternatives falling within the spirit and scope of the invention as defined by the appended claims.

DESCRIPTION OF SPECIFIC EMBODIMENTS

Referring to the drawings, FIG. 1 shows a block diagram of a currency handling system 10 embodying principles of the present invention. A microprocessor 12 controls the overall operation of the currency handling system 10. It should be noted that the detailed construction of a mechanism to convey bills through the currency handling system 10 is not related to the practice of the present invention. Many configurations are well-known in the prior art. An exemplary configuration includes an arrangement of pulleys and rubber belts driven by a single motor, as shown in U.S. Patent No. 5,295,196, assigned to the assignee of the present invention and incorporated herein by reference.

An encoder 14 may be used to provide input to the microprocessor 12 based on the position of a drive shaft 16, which operates the bill-conveying mechanism. The input from the encoder 14 allows the microprocessor to calculate the position of a bill as it travels and to determine the timing of the operations of the currency handling system 10.

A stack of currency bills (not shown) may be deposited in a hopper 18 which holds the currency securely and allows the bills in the stack to be conveyed one at a time through the currency handling system 10. After the bills are conveyed to the interior of the currency handling system 10, a portion of the bill may be optically or magnetically scanned by respective optical sensor(s) 20 and/or magnetic sensor(s) 28 of types commonly known in the art. The optical sensor(s) 20 generate signals that correspond to the amount of light reflected by all or part of the bill, while the magnetic sensor(s) 28 are designed to detect the amount or pattern of magnetic ink on all or part of the bill. One form of currency handling system using optical sensors to detect patterns of light reflected from the surface of bill(s) is described in U.S. Pat. No. 5,687,963, entitled "Method and Apparatus for Discriminating and Counting Documents," incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. Another form of currency handling system using optical sensors to detect reflected ultraviolet light (UV) and emitted fluorescent light is described in U.S. Pat. Appl. Serial No. 08/494,091, filed June 23, 1995, entitled "Currency Discriminator and Authenticator," assigned to the assignee of the present invention and incorporated herein by reference. A currency handling system using magnetic sensors is described in U.S. Patent No. 5,295,196, assigned to the assignee of the present invention and incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

The fraction of bill that is scanned by the sensors 20,28 may be less than the entire area of one side of the bill. The scanned area may be less than half, or even less than one quarter, of the area of one side of the bill. In the case of U.S. currency, for instance, it has been determined that scanning a central, approximately two-inch
5 (approximately 5 cm) portion of either side of the bills, along the narrow dimension of the bills, provides reflectance data sufficient to distinguish among the various U.S. currency denominations. FIG. 2 is an example of a pattern obtained by optically scanning the "green" side of a U.S. \$1 bill (using 128 reflectance samples) across this central two-inch section.

10 Signals from the optical or magnetic sensors 20, 28 are sent to respective amplifier circuits 22, 30 which, in turn, send output signals to an analog-to-digital converter 24. The output of the ADC is read by the microprocessor 12. The microprocessor 12 stores each element of data from the optical and/or magnetic sensors 20, 28 in a range of memory locations in a system memory 26, forming a set of data
15 values corresponding to the optical and/or magnetic scan of the representative currency bills. The system memory 26 may comprise any combination of random access memory (RAM), read only memory (ROM), flash memory or any other memory type known in the art.

It will be appreciated that sensors other than magnetic and optical sensors may be
20 used in the currency handling system 10. For example, other techniques of gathering test data from currency include electrical conductivity sensing, capacitive sensing (U.S. Pat. No. 5,122,754 [watermark, security thread]; 3,764,899 [thickness]; 3,815,021 [dielectric properties]; 5,151,607 [security thread]), and mechanical sensing (U.S. Pat. No. 4,381,447 [limpness]; 4,255,651 [thickness]). Each of the aforementioned patents and/or
25 patent applications is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

The currency handling system 10 may be operated in a "standard" currency evaluation mode or in a "learn" mode. In the standard currency evaluation mode, the optical and/or magnetic data obtained by the optical and/or magnetic sensor(s) 20, 28 is compared by the microprocessor 12 to prestored master information stored in the system
30 memory 26. The prestored master information corresponds to optical and/or magnetic data generated from genuine "master" currency of a plurality of denominations and/or

types. Typically, the prestored data represents expected numerical values, ranges or patterns of numerical values associated with optical and/or magnetic scans of genuine currency. The master information may further represent various orientations and/or facing positions of genuine currency to account for the possibility of a bill in the stack being in a reversed orientation or reversed facing position compared to other bills in the stack. A currency handling system utilizing prestored master information is described in U.S. Patent No. 5,295,196, incorporated herein by reference.

The currency handling system 10 may make a determination of authenticity or denomination of a bill under test by comparing test data obtained from scanning the test bill to master information stored in the system memory 26. The test data may comprise any of the several types of data identified above. The master information may comprise data associated with, generated and/or derived from "master" currency of a plurality of denominations and/or types. A determination of denomination of test bills may be made, for example, in a denomination discriminator by scanning test bills having an initially unknown denomination to obtain test data, then comparing the test data to prestored master information associated with a plurality of denominations of bills. The currency handling system 10 may determine in such comparison whether the test data sufficiently matches any item of master information corresponding to a particular bill. If there is a sufficient match, the currency handling system 10 may determine the denomination of the test bill to be the same as that associated with the matching master information.

In contrast, a typical counter is designed to accommodate a stack of bills having the same, predetermined denomination. A typical counter thereby does not determine the denomination of the bills under test, but determines the authenticity of the bills after having been informed of the denomination and/or type of the bills by an external signal or operator. The denomination of the bills under test may be communicated to the counter through an operator interface panel such as a keyboard or touchscreen, or through a remote host system linked to the currency handling system, such as that described in U.S. patent application serial number 08/722,808, assigned to the assignee of the present invention and incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

In addition to the denomination discriminators which can accurately call the denomination of bills being processed and note counters which do not discriminate the

denomination of the bills being processed, another type of currency handling machine similar to the traditional note counter may employ rather crude means to determine the denomination of a bill. This type of machine, hereinafter designated an "enhanced note counter" or simply "enhanced counter," may include sensors sufficient to enable it to
5 crudely discriminate the denomination of a bill and also sensors such as magnetic or optical sensors sufficient to enable it to discriminate between acceptable and non-acceptable bills (including counterfeit bills) in a stack of bills. For example, an enhanced counter may employ size detecting sensors to measure the length and/or width of a bill being processed. The enhanced counter may further comprise a memory storing master
10 information indicating the sizes of different types of bills, for example, the sizes of different denominations of British pounds. If the measured size of the test bill sufficiently matches one of the stored master sizes, then the enhanced counter can make a preliminary determination of the denomination of the bill. The denomination determination test is rather crude because it simply indicates that the size of the
15 document being processed matches one of the master sizes. Thus, a blank piece of paper cut to appropriate size would satisfy such a crude test. Hence, such an enhanced note counter may not provide sufficient testing to be relied on to call the denomination and type of processed documents in all currency processing contexts but nonetheless may prove useful for certain currency processing contexts. Additionally, such crude
20 denomination systems may be employed in conjunction with denomination discriminators whereby a crude determination of the denomination is initially made and then further denomination discrimination is performed using the results of the crude denomination determining step.

According to one embodiment of the present invention, the operator of a
25 document handling device such as a note counter (traditional or "enhanced") or a currency denomination discriminator is provided with the ability to set sensitivity levels to perform various standard mode authentication tests. The standard mode authentication tests may include, for example, a UV test (upper and lower), a fluorescence test and a magnetic test, such as described in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/798,605, filed
30 February 11, 1997, entitled "Method and Apparatus for Authenticating Currency," assigned to the assignee of the present invention and incorporated herein by reference in

its entirety. The sensitivity levels may be set through an operator interface panel such as a keyboard or touchscreen, or through a remote host system. More particularly, in one embodiment, the operator is provided with the ability to adjust either of the four authentication tests noted above in a range of sensitivities 1 - 10, with 10 being the most sensitive, or to turn each test off. This permits an operator to vary the sensitivity according to the denomination and/or type of bill. For example, an operator may choose to select a low sensitivity in the authentication of low denomination bills and a high sensitivity in the authentication of high denomination bills. The above setting options are summarized in Table 1.

TABLE 1

Mode	UV Test -- Lower Sensitivity	UV Test -- Upper Sensitivity	Fluorescent Test Sensitivity	Magnetic Test Sensitivity
High	Off, 1-10	Off, 1-10	Off, 1-10	Off, 1-10
Low	Off, 1-10	Off, 1-10	Off, 1-10	Off, 1-10
1,2,5,10,20,50,100	Off, 1-10	Off, 1-10	Off, 1-10	Off, 1-10

According to an alternate embodiment, the above high/low modes are replaced with denomination modes, for example, one for each of several denominations of currency (*e.g.*, \$1, \$2, \$5, \$10, \$20, \$50 and \$100). For each denomination, the sensitivity of the four tests may be adjusted between 1-10 or off. According to one embodiment, the operator manually selects either the high or low mode or the appropriate denomination mode based on the values of the notes to be processed. This manual mode selection system may be employed in, for example, a traditional note counter, enhanced note counter or a currency denomination discriminator.

In the low mode or for low denomination modes (*e.g.*, \$1, \$2) the three tests may be set to relatively low sensitivities (*e.g.*, UV test set at 2, fluorescent test set at 5, and magnetic test set at 3). Conversely, in the high mode or for high denomination modes (*e.g.*, \$50, \$100) the three tests may be set to relatively high sensitivities (*e.g.*, UV test set at 5, fluorescent test set at 6, and magnetic test set at 7). In this way, authentication sensitivity may be increased when processing high value notes where the potential harm or risk in not detecting a counterfeit may be greater and may be decreased when processing low value notes where the potential harm or risk in not detecting a counterfeit

is lesser and the annoyance of wrongly rejecting genuine notes is greater. Also the UV, fluorescent, and/or magnetic characteristics of genuine notes can vary due to number of factors such wear and tear or whether the note has been washed (e.g., detergents). As a result, the fluorescence detection of genuine U.S. currency, for example, may yield

5 readings of about 0.05 or 0.06 volts.

With respect to U.S. currency, the UV and fluorescent thresholds associated with each of the ten sensitivity levels may be set, for example, as shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2

Sensitivity Level	UV Test -- Lower (Volts)	UV Test -- Upper (Volts)	Fluorescence Test (Volts)
1	0.200	2.200	0.800
2	0.325	2.100	0.600
3	0.450	2.000	0.400
4	0.550	1.900	0.200
5	0.600	1.800	0.150
6	0.650	1.700	0.100
7	0.700	1.600	0.090
8	0.750	1.500	0.080
9	0.800	1.450	0.070
10	0.850	1.400	0.060

Although the UV and fluorescence threshold data associated with sensitivity
10 levels 1-10 in Table 2 are derived with respect to U.S. currency, it will be appreciated that the sensitivity levels may be appropriately selected to authenticate foreign currency or other documents having known reflectance characteristics.

According to one embodiment of the present invention, the document handling system automatically selects either the high or low mode or the appropriate denomination
15 mode based on the values of the notes being processed. This automatic mode selection system may be employed in systems capable of independently identifying the different values or kinds of documents, such as a denomination discriminator or enhanced note

counter, or in systems which do not identify but which are externally informed of the denomination of documents to be processed, such as a traditional note counter.

In one embodiment, where a currency evaluation machine capable of denominating bills (*e.g.*, a denomination discriminator or enhanced note counter) is presented with a stack of bills, each having the same denomination, the machine evaluates one or more test bills in the stack to identify their denomination, automatically selects a sensitivity setting corresponding to the identified denomination, and evaluates the remaining bills in the stack according to the same sensitivity setting, without reaccomplishing the selection of a sensitivity setting for each individual bill. This embodiment reduces the processing burden on the machine and can enable the machine to process bills with greater speed than would otherwise be achievable by continuously re-evaluating the sensitivity setting. It will be appreciated, however, that the automatic mode selection system may be used to select an authentication mode independently for each bill in the stack, regardless of whether the bills are known to have the same or different denominations, with sensitivity settings which may vary according to the different denominations and types of bills in the stack.

In one embodiment, where each bill in the stack is the same denomination and type (but where the denomination discriminator or enhanced note counter has not been informed of the denomination and type), the denomination discriminator or enhanced counter makes an initial determination of the denomination and type of the bills in the stack by scanning one or more test bills to determine one or more selected attributes of the bill(s) such as, for example, the size or color of the bill(s), then compares the selected attribute(s) to master information corresponding to the selected attribute(s) in various denominations and types of currency. The denomination and type of the test bill(s) is determined by finding the denomination of currency whose master information most closely compares to the selected attribute(s) of the bill under test. Then, because the remaining bills in the stack are known to be generally the same denomination and type as the test bill(s), the discriminator or enhanced counter assumes the denomination and type of the remaining bills in the stack to be the same as that of the test bill(s). In this embodiment, therefore, an initial determination of denomination and type of the remaining bills is accomplished automatically in response to evaluation of the test bill(s) without separately

discriminating the denomination and type of the remaining bills. Operating parameters may then be selected, either manually or automatically, corresponding to the assumed denomination and type of the bills, and the authenticity of the bills may be determined by the standard mode of operation described above. The selection of operating parameters may comprise, for example, the setting of sensitivity levels, displays, the selection of sensor(s) or generally any feature that may be varied in response to different denominations and types of currency.

Similarly, where each bill in the stack is the same denomination and type and where the denomination discriminator or counter has been informed of the type, but not denomination, of the bills, the denomination discriminator or enhanced counter makes an initial determination of the denomination of the bills in the stack by scanning one or more test bills to determine one or more selected attributes of the bill(s) such as, for example, the size or color of the bill(s), then compares the selected attribute(s) to master information corresponding to the selected attribute(s) in the various denominations of the known type of currency. The denomination of the test bill(s) is determined by finding the denomination of currency whose master information most closely compares to the selected attribute(s) of the bill under test. Then, because the remaining bills in the stack are known to be generally the same denomination as the test bill(s), the discriminator or enhanced counter assumes the denomination of the remaining bills in the stack to be the same as that of the test bill(s), without separately discriminating the remaining bills. Operating parameters may then be selected, either manually or automatically, corresponding to the assumed denomination and known type of the bills, and the authenticity of the bills may be determined by the standard mode of operation described above.

For example, suppose that a denomination discriminator or enhanced counter is presented with a stack of 5£ British currency notes after having been informed of the type, but not denomination, of the bills. According to one embodiment of the present invention, the denomination discriminator or enhanced counter makes an initial determination of the denomination of the stack of bills by scanning a first bill to derive a numerical test value corresponding to the size of the first bill, then compares the numerical test value to a set of master information stored in system memory. The master information may comprise numerical values corresponding to the respective sizes of

various denominations of British currency, including 5£, 10£, 20£, 50£ and 100£ British notes. The denomination of the first bill (and the assumed denomination of the remainder of the stack) is chosen from among the several denominations of British currency corresponding to the threshold values by determining which one of the stored
5 numerical values most closely matches the test value obtained from the first bill. Thus, in the present example, the first bill (and expected denomination of the remainder of the stack) will most likely be determined to be a 5£ British note.

Based on this initial determination, the denomination discriminator or enhanced counter may determine the authenticity of the remaining bills in the stack with operating
10 parameters (e.g., authentication sensors, sensitivity settings, operator interface panel) tailored for the authentication of 5£ British notes. The determination of authenticity of the remainder of the test bills may be made by comparing any appropriate authentication attribute of the bills to corresponding master information in the system memory, notwithstanding the attribute used to make the initial determination of denomination. Thus,
15 in the present example, although the attribute used to make the initial determination of denomination is, for example, size, the authenticity of the remaining bills may be made by comparing any appropriate attribute of the bills, such as size, magnetic content, UV reflectance levels, *etc.* to corresponding master information associated with 5£ British notes.

20 Heretofore, the master information used in evaluating currency in "standard" mode has been generated externally to the currency handling system 10. The master information is typically programmed at a factory or service center into a memory device such as an EPROM, then installed in the machine or shipped to the user for installation in the machine. Consequently, the ability of currency handling machines known in the art to
25 discriminate or authenticate particular types and/or denominations of currency is dependent on the content of their associated memory device. The memory devices must therefore be appropriately encoded to correspond to the intended market in which they will be used. For example, a memory device to be used in a machine for discriminating U.S. currency must be encoded with master information corresponding to the magnetic or optical
30 characteristics of U.S. currency, while a memory device used in a machine designated for foreign markets must be encoded with master information corresponding to the magnetic or

optical characteristics of the appropriate foreign currency(s). A machine having a memory device encoded with master information appropriate to one market will generally be unable to accommodate currency from another market because it has not been encoded with the appropriate master information for that other market.

5 In the "learn" mode, the present invention is designed to overcome the problems associated with the prior art by permitting the currency handling system 10 to generate the necessary master information independently, without having been pre-programmed with such master information. According to one embodiment, in each operation of the learn mode, a stack of representative "master" currency bills of a designated currency
10 denomination and type is deposited in the hopper 18 and fed through the system 10 as described above. The denomination and/or type of the master currency bills may be initially unrecognizable to the currency handling system 10. As the master currency bills are conveyed through the currency handling system 10, they are scanned by one or more discrimination and/or authentication sensors and master information corresponding to the
15 scan of the master bills is stored in a system memory 26. With each operation of the learn mode, the system memory increases its store of master information. Thus, where the system memory 26 initially stores a first set of master information (*e.g.*, associated with U.S. currency), the learn mode may be executed to learn new series of bills (*e.g.*, new series of U.S. \$50 bills), currency from other countries or specialized currency such
20 as might be used by a casino, amusement park or the like. Regardless of the type of currency which is learned, the master information obtained in learn mode will supplement, rather than replace the first set of master information. The master information is available for recall from the memory 26 for subsequent comparison to test data obtained from bills to be denominated and/or authenticated by the currency handling
25 system 10 in "standard" mode.

In the embodiment shown in FIG. 1, the sensors comprise optical and magnetic sensors 20, 28. The attributes of a bill for which data may be obtained from magnetic sensing include, for example, patterns of changes in magnetic flux (U.S. Pat. No. 3,280,974), patterns of vertical grid lines in the portrait area of bills (U.S. Pat. No. 3,870,629), the presence of a security thread (U.S. Pat. No. 5,151,607), total amount of magnetizable material of a bill (U.S. Pat. No. 4,617,458), patterns from sensing the

strength of magnetic fields along a bill (U.S. Pat. No. 4,593,184), and other patterns and counts from scanning different portions of the bill such as the area in which the denomination is written out (U.S. Pat. No. 4,356,473).

The attributes of a bill for which data may be obtained from optical sensing
5 include, for example, density (U.S. Pat. No. 4,381,447), color (U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,490,846;
3,496,370; 3,480,785), length and thickness (U.S. Pat. No. 4,255,651), the presence of a
security thread (U.S. Pat. No. 5,151,607) and holes (U.S. Pat. No. 4,381,447), reflected
or transmitted intensity levels of UV light (U.S. patent application Serial No.
08/317,349) and other patterns of reflectance and transmission (U.S. Pat. No. 3,496,370;
10 3,679,314; 3,870,629; 4,179,685). Color detection techniques may employ color filters,
colored lamps, and/or dichroic beamsplitters (U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,841,358; 4,658,289;
4,716,456; 4,825,246, 4,992,860 and EP 325,364).

Alternatively or additionally, a variety of other sensors may be utilized to process
currency to obtain master information or test data including, for example, electrical
15 conductivity sensors, capacitive sensors (U.S. Pat. No. 5,122,754 [watermark, security
thread]; 3,764,899 [thickness]; 3,815,021 [dielectric properties]; 5,151,607 [security
thread]), and mechanical sensors (U.S. Pat. No. 4,381,447 [limpness]; 4,255,651
[thickness]). Each of the aforementioned patents and/or patent applications is
incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

20 According to one embodiment of the present invention, the master information
comprises numerical data associated with various denominations of currency bills. The
numerical data may comprise, for example, thresholds of acceptability to be used in
evaluating test bills, based on expected numerical values associated with the currency or
a range of numerical values defining upper and lower limits of acceptability. The
25 thresholds may be associated with various sensitivity levels, as described in relation to
Table 1 and Table 2. Alternatively, the master information may comprise non-numerical
information associated with the currency such as, for example, optical or magnetic
patterns, symbols, codes or alphanumeric characters. In either case, the master
information comprises internally generated parameters which may be used in evaluating
30 test bills in the same manner described above in relation to the standard mode of
operation.

Master information may be obtained in the learn mode from any of several currency denominations and/or types. The learn mode may be repeated in successive trials to accumulate master information from multiple currency denominations and/or types. For example, in a first operation of the learn mode, master currency bills of a first currency
5 denomination and type may be conveyed through the currency handling system 10 and processed to obtain master information associated with the first currency denomination and type, which may then be stored in the system memory 26. Then, in a second operation of the learn mode master currency bills of a second currency denomination and type may be conveyed through the currency handling system 10 and processed to obtain master
10 information associated with the second currency denomination and type, which also may be stored in the system memory 26. This process may be repeated several times to obtain master information associated with multiple denominations and types of currency. The information associated with each of the currency denominations and types is stored in system memory 26 for recall in "standard" mode, as heretofore described.

15 The specific denominations and types of currency from which master information may be expected to be obtained for any particular machine 10 will generally depend on the market in which the machine 10 is used (or intended to be used). In European market countries, for example, with the advent of Euro currency (EC currency), it may be expected that both EC currency and a national currency will circulate in any given
20 country. In Germany, for a more specific example, it may be expected that both EC currency and German deutschmarks (DMs) will circulate. With the learn mode capability of the present invention, a German operator may obtain master information associated with both EC and DM currency and store the information in system memory 26.

25 Of course, the "family" of desirable currencies for any particular machine 10 or market may include more than two types of currencies. For example, a centralized commercial bank in the European Community may handle several types of currencies including EC currency, German DMs, British Pounds, French Francs, U.S. Dollars, Japanese Yen and Swiss Francs. In like manner, the desirable "family" of currencies in
30 Tokyo, Hong Kong or other parts of Asia may include Japanese Yen, Chinese Remimbi, U.S. Dollars, German DMs, British Pounds and Hong Kong Dollars. As a further

example, a desirable family of currencies in the United States may include the combination of U.S. Dollars, British Pounds, German DMs, Canadian Dollars and Japanese Yen. With the learn mode capability of the present invention, master information may be obtained from any denomination of currency in any desired "family" by simply repeating the learn mode for each denomination and type of currency in the family.

This may be achieved in successive operations of the learn mode by running currency bills of the designated family, one currency denomination and type at a time, past the sensors of the system 10 to obtain the necessary master information. The currency bills may be fed individually through the system 10 or in stacks of the designated denomination and/or type. The number of bills fed through the system may thereby be as few as one bill, or may be several bills. The bill(s) fed through the system may include good quality bill(s), poor quality bill(s) or both. The master information obtained from the bills defines (or may be processed to define) thresholds, ranges of acceptability or patterns of bills of the designated denomination and type which are later to be evaluated in "standard" mode.

For example, suppose a single good quality bill of a designated denomination and type is fed through the system 10 in learn mode. The master information obtained from the bill may be processed to define a range of acceptability for bills of the designated denomination and type. For instance, the master information obtained from the learn mode bill may define a "center" value of the range, with "deltas," plus or minus the center value being determined by the system 10 to define the upper and lower bounds of the range. Alternatively, a range of acceptability may be obtained by feeding a stack of bills through the system 10, each bill in the stack being of generally "good" quality, but differing in degree of quality from others in the stack. In this example, the average value of the notes in the stack may define a "center value" of a range, with values plus or minus the center value defining the upper and lower bounds of the range, as described above. Alternatively, other statistical analysis may be employed to define thresholds, patterns or ranges, such as standard deviation information being used to define upper and lower bounds of the range.

In another embodiment, master information obtained from the poorest quality of the learn mode bills may be used to define the limits of acceptability for bills of the designated

denomination and type, such that bills of the designated denomination and type evaluated in standard mode will be accepted if they are at least as "good" in quality as the poorest quality of the learn mode bills. Still another alternative is to feed one or more poor quality bills through the system 10 to define "unacceptable" bill(s) of the denomination and type, such that bills of the designated denomination and type evaluated in standard mode will not be accepted unless they are better in quality than the poor quality learn mode bills.

Because the currency bills are initially unrecognizable to the currency handling system 10 in the learn mode, the operator must generally inform the system 10 (by means of operator interface panel or external signal, for example) which denomination and type of currency it is "learning," and whether it is learning a good quality (e.g., "acceptable") or poor quality (e.g., "marginally acceptable" or "unacceptable") bill so that the system 10 may correlate the master information it obtains (and stores in memory) with the appropriate denomination, type and acceptability status of the bill(s).

In one embodiment, various menu displays may be utilized at the operator interface panel to prompt the operator to enter the country, denomination and/or series of the currency to be "learned" in learn mode. The learn mode menu may also prompt the operator to select the type of tests to perform in learn mode.

The available selection options in the menu may be pre-determined "default" settings or customizable settings programmed into the system 10 in a set-up mode. In one embodiment, for example, a country selection sub-menu may offer United States, Canada and Mexico as country selection options, a denomination selection sub-menu may offer the units 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 20, 50 and 100 as denomination selection options, a series selection sub-menu may offer the units 1, 2 and 3 as series selection options, and a test selection menu may offer optical pattern, UV, magnetic, thread detection, size detection and color as test selection options.

In one embodiment, user-defined labels and settings may be entered into the system 10 to accommodate new countries, types or denominations of currency. The operator may enter labels and selection options appropriate to the new type of currency through the learn mode menu, or may define a tailored learn mode menu appropriate to the new currency in a set-up mode of the machine. The available menu selection options may include denomination selection options and test selection options as heretofore

described. The user-defined option may be used, for example, where a machine 10 will be instructed to learn a specialized form of currency, such as might be used by a casino, amusement park, or the like. In such case, rather than selecting a country, the operator may enter a label (e.g., Skyline casino) identifying the type of money via keyboard, 5 touchscreen, or other appropriate means. Then, the operator may select the denomination(s) and/or series of the currency to be learned, and perhaps the test(s) to be performed, through the learn mode menu as heretofore described.

The user may select from among the available menu or sub-menu options by "clicking" over an appropriate icon, pressing a touch screen or some other means. The 10 denomination, series and/or test selection menus may offer selection options tailored to the country or denominations which have been selected. Thus, for example, where the United States has been selected in the country selection menu, the denomination selection menu may offer \$1, \$5, \$10, \$20, \$50 and \$100 as available denomination selection options and the test selection menu may offer optical pattern, UV, magnetic, and 15 thread detection as test selection options. Similarly, where the United States has been selected in the country selection menu and \$50 has been selected in the denomination selection menu, the series selection menu may offer "1998-" and "1998+" (or "old" and "new") as available series selection options.

For purposes of illustration, suppose that an operator desires to obtain master 20 information for new series \$50 U.S. bills. In one embodiment, this may be achieved by first instructing the machine 10, by means of an operator interface panel or external signal, to enter the learn mode and then, through the appropriate menu(s), selecting a country, denomination and series of currency to be learned (e.g., "United States," "\$50," "1998+"). Through the test selection menu, the operator may instruct the machine 10 which type of 25 test(s) to perform to obtain the master information. The operator may then insert a single good-quality bill of the selected denomination and type (or a number of such bills) in the hopper 18. The machine 10 feeds the bill(s) through the system and evaluates the bills with sensors appropriate to the selected test(s) to obtain master information associated with the bills. The master information is stored in the system memory and is retrievable for later use 30 in standard mode to denominate and/or authenticate bills corresponding to the learned denomination.

Where a single bill is fed through the system 10, suppose that an arbitrary value "x" is obtained (or derived) from the learn mode sensors. The system 10 may define the value "x" to be a center value of an "acceptable" range for \$50 dollar 1988 series U.S. bills. The system 10 may further define the values " $x + y$ " and " $x - y$ " to comprise the upper and lower bounds of the "acceptable" range for \$50 dollar 1988 series U.S. bills, where y is a tolerance value appropriate to the type of test. An appropriate value of y may be derived in relation to the value x (e.g., in terms of standard deviation) or may be independent of x. The value of y may differ according to the type of test employed and the different results which may be expected from the tests. For example, for two tests A and B, an appropriate value of y may be 0.1 volts for test A and 0.01 volts for test B.

In such an embodiment, when test A is employed and a single \$50 dollar 1988 series U.S. bill is fed through the system 10, suppose that the value "x" obtained or derived from the learn mode sensors is 0.5 volts. Then, the system 10 would define the values 0.6 ($0.5 + 0.1$) and 0.4 ($0.5 - 0.1$) to be the upper and lower bounds of acceptability for \$50 dollar 1988 series U.S. bills evaluated in standard mode under test A.

Alternatively, especially where the bills to be "learned" have been subject to varying degrees of circulation, the ranges of acceptability may be derived from an average sensor value obtained from multiple bills. Suppose, for example, an operator wishes to teach the machine 10 master information associated with U.S. \$5 dollar bills. The user may feed multiple \$5 dollar bills, each bill being of generally "good" quality but having been subject to varying degrees of circulation, through the system 10, (and again using the arbitrary sensor value "x" for purposes of illustration), suppose that the average sensor value obtained from the bills is "1.1x". The system 10 may define the "acceptable" range for \$5 dollar U.S. bills to be centered at the average sensor value "1.1x," with a tolerance value "y" substantially as described above defining in this case an upper bound " $1.1x + y$ " and a lower bound " $1.1x - y$ " of acceptability. If the value x is 0.5 volts, as in the above example, then the upper bound would be 0.65 volts [$(1.1) \times (0.5) + 0.1$] and the lower bound would be 0.45 volts [$(1.1) \times (0.5) - 0.1$].

As a further alternative, where multiple bills (e.g., \$5 dollar U.S. bills) are fed through the system 10, suppose that sensor values obtained in the learn mode range between "1.4x" and "0.9x" (or, between 0.7 volts and 0.45 volts, assuming x is 0.5 volts).

In this embodiment, the system 10 may define 0.7 volts and 0.45 volts to be the upper and lower bounds of the “acceptable range” for \$50 dollar 1988-series U.S. bills, without regard to the average value.

According to one embodiment, the operator includes in the stack of master currency
5 to be processed both new, uncirculated currency and bills which have been in circulation to varying degrees. In this embodiment, bills of the poorest quality may be fed through the system to define the outer limits of acceptability of the bills. For example, suppose that the operator feeds two poor quality U.S. \$5 dollar bills through the system 10, and suppose that sensor readings of “1.5x” and “0.7x” (0.75 volts and 0.35 volts, assuming x is 0.5 volts) are
10 obtained from the poor quality bills. The system 10 may then determine the range of acceptability for U.S. \$5 dollar bills to be between the values of 0.75 volts and 0.35 volts.

Next, after master information has been obtained from the first denomination and type of currency (*e.g.*, U.S. \$5 dollar bills), the operator instructs the system 10 that it will be reading a second, third, fourth, *etc.* denomination and type of currency (*e.g.*, \$10
15 denominations of U.S. currency, \$5 and \$10 denomination of Canadian currency, *etc.*), then feeds the respective bill(s) through the system 10 to obtain master information and derive thresholds of acceptability from the bills, in any of the manners heretofore described. The operator may select which type of tests and/or sensor(s) that should be used to obtain the master information through a learn mode selection menu. For example, an operator may
20 wish to use optical and magnetic sensors for U.S. currency and only optical sensors for Canadian currency. After the operator has obtained master information from each desired currency denomination and type, the operator instructs the system 10 to enter “standard” mode, or to depart the “learn” mode. The operator may nevertheless re-enter the learn mode at a subsequent time to obtain master information from other currency
25 denominations, types and/or series.

It will be appreciated that the master information obtained in “learn” mode is not limited to ranges of values as described in the examples above. Rather, the master information may comprise pattern information, numerical thresholds other than ranges, or generally any type of information which may be obtained by the learn mode sensors.

30 The sensors used to obtain master information in learn mode (or, the “learn mode” sensors) may be either separate from or the same as the sensors used to obtain data in

standard mode (or, the “standard mode” sensors). Where the sensors are the same in both learn mode and standard mode, the sensors constitute “dual-function” sensors (*e.g.*, operable as both a “learn mode” sensor and a “standard mode” sensor).

In one embodiment, after evaluation of the bills by the currency handling system 10, in learn mode and/or standard mode, each of the bills is transported to a stacker 34 which may include one or more “pockets” or output receptacles for receiving the bills. For example, FIGs. 3a and 3b portray an embodiment of the present invention in which the currency handling system 10 includes a single-pocket stacker, whereas FIGs. 4a and 4b portray an embodiment of the present invention in which the currency handling system 10 includes a two-pocket stacker. FIGs. 5, 6 and 7 portray other multi-pocket embodiments of the present invention in which the currency handling system 10 includes a three-, four- and six-pocket stacker, respectively. The single-pocket embodiment shown in FIG. 3 is described in detail in U.S. patent application serial number 08/800,053, filed February 14, 1997 and entitled “Method and Apparatus for Document Identification and Authentication.” The multi-pocket embodiments shown in FIGs. 4 through 7 are described in detail in U.S. patent application serial number 08/916,100, filed August 21, 1997 and entitled “Multi-Pocket Currency Discriminator.” Both applications are assigned to the assignee of the present invention and incorporated herein by reference.

In some embodiments, the currency handling systems 10 of the type shown in FIGs. 3 through 7 are compact and relatively lightweight, such that they may be rested upon a tabletop. One embodiment of the single-pocket currency handling system 10 (FIGs. 3a and 3b), for example, has a height (H) of about 17 ½ inches, width (W) of about 13 ½ inches, and a depth (D) of about 15 inches. In this embodiment, therefore, the currency handling system 10 has a “footprint” of 13 ½ inches by 15 inches, or about 205 square inches (about 1½ square feet), which is sufficiently small to fit on a typical tabletop. The weight of the system 10 in this embodiment is about 40 pounds. With respect to U.S. currency (having dimensions of about 2 ½ inches by 6 inches), the height (H) of the currency handling system is about three bill lengths, the width (W) is about 2 bill lengths and the depth (D) is about 2 ½ bill lengths, and the footprint of the currency handling system is about 13 ½ times that of a U.S. bill.

Similarly, the multi-pocket systems (FIGs. 4-7), in some embodiments, may be constructed with generally the same "footprint," allowing them to be rested upon a typical tabletop. Generally, however, where the multi-pocket systems 10 are constructed with the same footprint as the single-pocket system, they will be taller and heavier than the single-pocket system, with the relative heights and weights of the respective systems 10 corresponding generally to the number of pockets. Thus, in general, where the multi-pocket systems have the same size "footprint," the six-pocket system 10 (FIG. 7) will be taller and heavier than the four-pocket system 10 (FIG. 6), which in turn will be taller and heavier than the three-pocket system 10 (FIG. 5) and the two-pocket system 10 (FIGs. 4a and 4b).

One embodiment of two-pocket machine (FIGs. 4a and 4b) has a height of about 19 ½ inches, width of about 15 ½ inches, and a depth (D) of about 24 ½ inches, thus providing a "footprint" of about 380 square inches (about 2 ½ square feet), which is still sufficiently small to fit on a typical tabletop. One embodiment of a three-pocket machine (FIG. 5) has generally the same footprint as the 2-pocket machine (380 square inches, or 15 ½ inches by 24 ½ inches) but has a height of about 26 inches, or about 6 ½ inches taller than the two-pocket machine. Similarly, embodiments of a four-pocket machine (FIG. 6) and a six-pocket machine (FIG. 7) each have generally the same footprint as the 2- and 3-pocket machine but have respective heights of about 33 inches and about 46 inches.

In either of the above systems, the currency bills are fed, one by one, from a stack of currency bills placed in the input receptacle (e.g. "hopper") 18 into a transport mechanism, which guides the currency bills across optical and/or magnetic sensors to the output receptacle(s) 34. In one embodiment, the currency handling system 10 is capable of transporting, scanning, and determining the denomination and/or authenticity of the bills at a rate in excess of 800 to 1000 bills per minute.

The input receptacle 18 for receiving a stack of bills to be processed is formed by downwardly sloping and converging walls 205 and 206 formed by a pair of removable covers 207 and 208 (FIG. 3a) which snap onto a frame. The rear wall 206 supports a removable hopper 209 which includes a pair of vertically disposed side walls 210a and 210b which complete the receptacle for the stack of currency bills to be processed.

One embodiment of an input receptacle is described and illustrated in more detail in United States Pat. No. 5,687,963, entitled "Method and Apparatus for Discriminating and Counting Documents" which is incorporated by reference in its entirety. The currency discriminator 10 has a display area 15 which may include physical keys or buttons (FIG. 3a) and a display window for displaying information associated with operation of the machine. Alternatively, the display area 15 may include other forms of displays and/or keypads such as a touch panel display.

From the input receptacle 18, the currency bills in each of the systems 10 (FIGs. 3 through 7) are moved in seriatim from the bottom of a stack of bills along a curved guideway 211, which receives bills moving downwardly and rearwardly and changes the direction of travel to a forward direction. The curvature of the guideway 211 corresponds substantially to the curved periphery of a drive roll 223 so as to form a narrow passageway for the bills along the rear side of the drive roll 223. An exit end of the curved guideway 211 directs the bills onto a transport plate 240 which carries the bills through an evaluation section and to the output receptacle(s) 34.

In the single-pocket embodiment (FIGs. 3a and 3b), stacking of the bills is accomplished by a pair of driven stacking wheels 35 and 37. The stacker wheels 35, 37 are supported for rotational movement about a shaft 215 journaled on a rigid frame and driven by a motor (not shown). Flexible blades of the stacker wheels 35 and 37 deliver the bills onto a forward end of the output receptacle 34.

In the two-pocket embodiment (FIGs. 4a and 4b), stacking of the bills is accomplished by a pair of driven stacking wheels 35a and 37a for the first or upper output receptacle 34a and by a pair of stacking wheels 35b and 37b for the second or bottom output receptacle 34b. The stacker wheels 35a, 37a and 35b, 37b are supported for rotational movement about respective shafts 215a, b journaled on a rigid frame and driven by a motor (not shown). Flexible blades of the stacker wheels 35a and 37a deliver the bills onto a forward end of a stacker plate 214a. Similarly, the flexible blades of the stacker wheels 35b and 37b deliver the bills onto a forward end of a stacker plate 214b. A diverter 260 directs the bills to either the first or second output receptacle 34a, 34b. When the diverter is in a lower position, bills are directed to the first output receptacle

34a. When the diverter 260 is in an upper position, bills proceed in the direction of the second output receptacle 34b.

FIGs. 5 through 7 depict alternative forms of multi-pocket currency evaluation devices 10 which may be utilized in the present invention. FIG. 5 depicts a three-pocket currency evaluation device 10, FIG. 6 depicts a four-pocket currency evaluation device 10 and FIG. 7 depicts a six-pocket document evaluation device 10. The multi-pocket document evaluation devices 10 in FIG. 5 through 7 have a transport mechanism which includes a transport plate or guide plate 240 for guiding currency bills to one of a plurality of output receptacles 34. The transport plate 240 according to one embodiment is substantially flat and linear without any protruding features. Before reaching the output receptacles 34, a bill can be, for example, evaluated, analyzed, authenticated, discriminated, counted and/or otherwise processed.

The multi-pocket document evaluation devices 10 move the currency bills in seriatim from the bottom of a stack of bills along the curved guideway 211 which receives bills moving downwardly and rearwardly and changes the direction of travel to a forward direction. An exit end of the curved guideway 211 directs the bills onto the transport plate 240 which carries the bills through an evaluation section and to one of the output receptacles 34. A plurality of diverters 260 direct the bills to the output receptacles 34. When a diverter 260 is in its lower position, bills are directed to the corresponding output receptacle 217. When a diverter 260 is in its upper position, bills proceed in the direction of the remaining output receptacles.

The multi-pocket currency evaluation devices 10 of FIGs. 5 through 7 include passive rolls 250, 251 which are mounted on an underside of the transport plate 240 and are biased into counter-rotating contact with their corresponding driven upper rolls 223 and 241. Other embodiments include a plurality of follower plates which are substantially free from surface features and are substantially smooth like the transport plate 240. The follower plates 262 and 278 are positioned in spaced relation to transport plate 240 so as to define a currency pathway there between. In one embodiment, follower plates 262 and 278 have apertures only where necessary for accommodation of passive rolls 268, 270, 284, and 286.

The follower plate 262 works in conjunction with the upper portion of the transport plate 240 to guide a bill 20 from the passive roll 251 to a driven roll 264 and then to a driven roll 266. The passive rolls 268, 270 are biased by H-springs into counter-rotating contact with the corresponding driven rolls 264 and 266.

It will be appreciated that any of the stacker arrangements heretofore described may be utilized to receive currency bills, after they have been evaluated by the system 10, in either learn mode or standard mode. In one embodiment, however, bills transported through the system 10 in learn mode are not transported from the input receptacle 18 to the output receptacle(s) 34, but rather are transported from the input receptacle 18 past the sensors, then in reverse manner are delivered back to the input receptacle 18.

It will further be appreciated that any of the multi-pocket machines may be constructed with wider bases defining generally larger "footprints" than those heretofore described. According to some embodiments, it is preferred, but not necessary, that such embodiments will still fit upon a typical tabletop. Generally, any embodiment of any of the multi-pocket machines having a larger footprint will be shorter in height than an embodiment having a smaller footprint.

Now turning to FIG. 8, there is depicted a functional block diagram of a currency handling system 10 embodying principles of the present invention. Currency bills to be evaluated (in "standard" mode) or from which master information will be generated (in "learn" mode) are positioned in a bill accepting station 36. Accepted bills are acted upon by a bill separating mechanism 38 which functions to pick out or separate one bill at a time for being sequentially relayed by a bill transport mechanism 40, according to a precisely predetermined transport path, across an optical scanhead 42. The optical scanhead 42 comprises at least one light source 46 directing a beam of coherent light downwardly onto the bill transport path so as to illuminate a substantially rectangular light strip 48 upon the currency bill 44 positioned on the transport path below the scanhead 42. Light reflected off the illuminated strip 48 is sensed by a photodetector 50 positioned directly above the strip. After passing across the optical scanhead 42, each of the bills is transported to a bill stacking unit 34 which may include a plurality of "pockets" or output receptacles for receiving the bills, as described in relation to FIG. 1.

Alternatively or additionally, the currency handling system 10 may include a magnetic scanhead or any other type of sensor known in the art.

The analog output of the photodetector 50 is converted into a digital signal by means of an analog-to-digital (ADC) converter unit 52 whose output is fed as a digital
5 input to a central processing unit (CPU) 54. An encoder 14 provides an input to the CPU 54 to determine the timing of the operations of the currency handling system 10, and a flash memory 56 is provided for storing software codes and/or data related to operation of the currency handling system 10. A flash card 58 having its own flash memory (not shown) may be electrically connected to the flash memory 56 to provide updates or to
10 copy from the flash memory 56, as will be described in detail hereinafter.

An operator interface panel 60 provides an operator the capability of sending input data to, or receiving output data from, the currency handling system 10. Input data may comprise, for example, user-selected operating modes and user-defined operating parameters for the currency handling system 10. Output data may comprise, for example,
15 a display of the operating modes and/or status of the currency handling system 10 and the number or cumulative values of evaluated bills. In one embodiment, the operator interface panel 60 comprises a touch-screen "keypad" and display which may be used to provide input data and display output data related to operation of the currency handling system 10. In one embodiment, the operator may customize the touch-screen keypad to
20 define names or labels associated with particular keys or displays, delete keys, reposition keys or modify the complexity of the operator interface panel 60 to match the level of operator experience. The user-tailored operating parameters are encoded in the control software executed by the CPU 54 and stored in the flash memory 56.

In the "standard" mode of operation, whatever type(s) of sensor(s) are employed,
25 the sensor output(s) comprise test data representing selected attributes (e.g., optical pattern, size, etc.) of bill(s) under test. The test data is compared by the CPU 54 to master information associated with the selected attributes to determine the denomination or authenticity of the bills, based on various sensitivity levels. More than one attribute or type of sensing may be used to evaluate a given bill. For example, in an embodiment
30 utilizing size detection to provide an initial determination of authenticity of a bill,

characteristic data associated with attributes other than size may be used to subsequently verify the initial determination.

The master information used in evaluating bills under test is stored in the flash memory 56. Upon connection of the flash card 58 to the flash memory 56, the contents of the flash memory, including the master information generated in the "learn" mode, are copied onto the flash card 58. Thereafter, the flash card 58 may be used to update the flash memories of additional machines. In this system, therefore, the independent generation of master information accomplished in the "learn" mode need only be accomplished by one machine and quickly and efficiently loaded into other machines without repeating the "learn" mode in the other machines.

Flash memories are relatively well known in the art. Some of the several advantages of flash memories are that they are nonvolatile (e.g. their data content is preserved without requiring connection to a power supply) and they may be electrically erased and reprogrammed within fractions of a second through electrical control signals. An example of a specific type of flash memory which may be used in the currency handling system 10 is product number Am29F010, commercially available from Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. ("AMD") of Sunnyvale, CA and described in detail in AMD's publication entitled "Flash Memory Products -- 1996 Data Book/Handbook", incorporated herein by reference. However, those skilled in the art will appreciate that other types of flash memories may be utilized, depending on the system memory requirements and desired operating characteristics.

FIG. 9a depicts a currency handling machine 10 having an external slot 80 for receiving a flash card according to one embodiment of the invention. A removable flash card 82 is adapted to be inserted by a user through the external slot 80 and into a mating socket 84 located inside the machine adjacent the slot 80. Upon insertion of the flash card 82 into the socket 84, an electrical connection is formed between the flash card 82 and the flash memory 86 resident in the machine. According to one embodiment, the flash card 82 is small and lightweight, sturdy enough to withstand multiple uses, and adapted to be easily insertable into the slot 80 and corresponding socket 84 of the currency handling machine 10 by users not having any special training. Further, the flash card 82 should not require any special electrostatic or physical protection to protect it from damage during shipping and

handling. One type of flash card that has been found to satisfy these criteria is the FlashLite™ Memory Card available from AMP, Inc. of Harrisburg, PA. However, it is envisioned that other suitable types of flash cards will become available from other manufacturers. The FlashLite™ card has a thickness of 3.3 mm (1/8 inch), a width of approximately 45 mm (1.8 inches) and a 68-pin connector interface compatible with the Personal Computer Memory Card International Association (PCMCIA) industry standards. Its length may be varied to suit the needs of the user. In one embodiment, two sizes of flashcards (designated "half size" and "full size") have lengths of 2.1 inches (53 mm) and 3.3 inches (84 mm), respectively, but other sizes of flash cards may also be utilized.

FIG. 9b depicts a circuit board assembly 88 including a socket 84 adapted to receive the flash card 82 according to one embodiment of the invention. As will be appreciated by those skilled in the art, however, the flash card 82 may be electrically coupled to the resident memory by any of several alternative means other than a socket. Upon insertion of the flash card 82 into the socket 84, electrical signals are communicated from the flash card 82 to the resident flash memory 86 of the machine. In one embodiment, the socket 84 comprises a PCMCIA-compatible 68-position receptacle for receiving a flash card such as the FlashLite™ card described above. One type of socket that may be used for this purpose is AMP, Inc. product number 146773-1, which is adapted to extend vertically from the circuit board assembly 88 within the currency handling machine 10. However, it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that other types of sockets may be utilized, including those positioned horizontally in relation to the circuit board assembly 88, or those including a lever or button which may be depressed to eject the flash card 82 from the socket 84.

Upon insertion of the flash card 82 into its socket 84, the CPU 54 (FIG. 8) is capable of electrically detecting the presence of the card. If the FlashLite™ card is used, this is accomplished by means of two specially designated connector pins CD₁ and CD₂ (assigned to pin numbers 36 and 67, respectively) being shorted to ground. The CPU then compares the contents of the flash card memory with the contents of the resident flash memory 86. If the contents of the memories are different, the required sectors in the flash card memory are erased and replaced with new code copied from the resident flash memory 86. If the contents of the memories are the same, an audible or visual message is provided to the user indicating that the process is concluded. Upon successful completion of the

memory transfer, the flash card memory thereby is programmed with the same set of master information as the resident flash memory. Thus, for example, where the resident flash memory contains master information obtained in "learn mode" from a family of different currency types and denominations, such master information becomes transferred to the flash card memory.

The flash card 82 can thereafter be removed from the currency handling machine 10 and plugged into any other currency handling machine requiring that same set of master information to denominate and/or authenticate currency bills. The master information is copied from the flash card memory to the flash memory of the additional machines in substantially the same manner (although reversed) as they were initially copied onto the flash card. Thus, for example, where the flash card memory contains master information obtained in "learn mode" from a currency handling machine 10 which has been transferred to the flash card, such master information can be transferred to the resident flash memory of a number of other machines. The transfer of memory in this manner may thereby be used to replace or upgrade the denominations and/or types currencies to be processed by any particular machine. In the event of an unsuccessful memory transfer, the machine will automatically re-attempt the transfer until, after multiple unsuccessful attempts, the user will be advised that there is a hard system failure and to call for service.

For purposes of illustration, suppose for example that a particular machine 10 includes master information to accommodate German DMs and EC currency, and it is desired for whatever reason to replace this "first" set of master information with a "second" set of master information, to accommodate British pounds and U.S. Dollars. This may be accomplished by simply plugging an appropriately-programmed flash card into the machine 10, causing the first set of master information to be replaced with the second set. It will be appreciated that the memory of any particular machine 10 may be changed multiple times, to accommodate any of several alternative combinations of currencies through the above-described flash card memory transfer.

It has been found that the light source and/or sensor of a particular machine may degrade over time. Additionally, the light source and/or sensor of any particular machine may be affected by dust, temperature, imperfections, scratches, or anything that may affect the brightness of the bulb or sensitivity of the sensor. Similarly, machines utilizing

magnetic sensors will also generally degrade over time and/or be affected by its physical environment including dust, temperature, etc. When multiple machines are employed, as in the above-described system using flash cards to pass threshold data between multiple machines, each machine will typically have a measurement "bias" unique to that machine caused by the state of degradation of the optical or magnetic sensors associated with each individual machine. Due to the measurement biases between machines, master information generated by one machine will not directly correspond to such values in another machine. Consequently, if the measurement biases are not corrected, evaluation of bills will be inconsistent from machine to machine.

The present invention is designed to achieve a substantially consistent evaluation of bills between machines by "normalizing" the master information and test data to account for differences in sensors between machines. For example, where the master information and test data comprise numerical values, this is accomplished by dividing the threshold data and test data obtained from each machine by a reference value corresponding to the measurement of a common reference by each respective machine. The common reference may comprise, for example, an object such as a mirror or piece of paper or plastic that is present in each machine. The reference value is obtained in each respective machine by scanning the common reference with respect to a selected attribute such as size, density pattern, etc. The master information and/or test data obtained from each individual machine is then divided by the appropriate reference value to define normalized master information and/or test data corresponding to each machine. The evaluation of bills in standard mode may thereafter be accomplished by comparing the normalized test data to normalized master information.

The normalized master information may be obtained from one or more machines in "learn" mode and transferred to other machines by using the flash card process heretofore described. By using normalized master information to evaluate bills, a consistent evaluation of bills is achieved from machine to machine even though the sensors in each machine may be in different states of degradation. For example, suppose a first machine is operated in "learn" mode to derive master information, in the form of numerical threshold values, associated with optical sensing of a currency bill, and the threshold values are copied from the first machine to a second machine using the flash

card process heretofore described. In actual terms, the threshold values derived by the first machine may comprise, for example, an upper limit of 2.0 volts and a lower limit of 1.0 volts. Suppose further that the first machine optically senses a reference object such as a piece of plastic and produces a reference value of 4.0 volts. The upper and lower
5 threshold values are normalized by dividing them by the reference value, resulting in a normalized upper threshold of 0.5 and a normalized lower threshold of 0.25.

The normalized threshold values obtained from the first machine may then be transferred to a second machine including a reference object which is identical to or otherwise has the same measurable characteristics as the reference object in the first
10 machine. Typically, the sensors in the second machine will be in a different state of degradation than the sensors in the first machine. For example, optical sensing of the reference object which produced a signal of 4.0 volts in the first machine may produce a signal of only 3.0 volts in the second machine. The second machine may nevertheless evaluate bills consistently with the first machine by comparing the normalized threshold
15 values obtained from the first machine to normalized test data values obtained from the second machine. Alternatively, a consistent evaluation may be obtained by converting the normalized threshold values obtained from the first machine to "actual" (e.g., unnormalized) thresholds associated with the second machine and then comparing them to unnormalized test data obtained from the second machine.

For example, in the second machine described above, the normalized upper and lower thresholds obtained from the first machine (e.g., 0.5 and 0.25) may be converted to "actual" (e.g., unnormalized) thresholds appropriate to the second machine by multiplying the normalized values by the reference value (3.0 volts) obtained by the second machine. This results in an "actual" upper limit of 1.5 volts and an "actual" lower limit of 0.75 volts
25 for the second machine. Evaluation of bills in standard mode may thereby be accomplished in the second machine by comparing "actual" data values of the bills under test to the "actual" threshold data derived from the normalized threshold data. Alternatively, the measured "actual" data values of the bills under test may be converted to normalized data values for comparison to the normalized threshold values.

Although the flash card loading system according to the present invention has
30 heretofore been described in relation to the copying of master information, such as

numerical threshold values, from machine to machine, it will be appreciated that the above described flash card loading system may be utilized to copy substantially all of the contents of the flash memory from one machine to the flash memory of other machines. In addition to master information, the contents of the flash memory may include, for example, tailored operating parameters associated with the particular currency handling machine 10 such as, for example, a user-defined keyboard and/or display which have been programmed to suit an individual operator or particular machine. By using the flash card loading system described above, these tailored operating parameters may be quickly and efficiently transferred from one machine to a second machine, thereby customizing the operating parameters of the second machine to match the operating parameters of the first machine.

According to another embodiment of the present invention, the operator or end user of the currency handling machine is provided with the ability to send control signals to the machine. The control signals may comprise, for example, an override signal causing the machine not to use master information generated internally through the “learn” mode. The override signal may send alternate master information to the machine to be used in place of the self-generated master information. The control signals may further include an attribute-selection signal for selecting the attributes of the bills for which master information will be obtained. For example, in a currency handling machine including both optical and magnetic sensors capable of measuring a variety of attributes, an operator may choose to use the attribute-selection signal to cause the currency handling machine to measure only a particular attribute or sub-combination of attributes. The control signals may also include an authentication mode selection signal for selecting which items of master information will be used in authentication of subsequent currency bills. For example, if master information corresponding to both size and density have been obtained, an operator may use the authentication mode selection signal to use only master information based on size to authenticate subsequent bills. Preferably, each of the above signals are separately definable for separate denominations of bills.

FIG. 10 depicts one embodiment of the present invention in which the aforementioned control signals are sent to the currency handling machine 10 through a cash settlement machine 90. The cash settlement machine 90 is generally used to gather and record data relating to monetary transactions. For example, the operator of the cash

settlement machine 90 may be a supervisor who is interested in the value of transactions performed by subordinates interacting with consumers at a transaction station. The cash settlement machine 90 records various financial data such as cash, coins, credit card receipts, coupons and other related data from each station. The data can be input into the cash settlement machine 90 manually or automatically via numerous peripheral machines such as the currency handling machine 10.

In the cash settlement machine 90, an operator interface panel 92 provides for operator interaction with the cash settlement machine 90. Typically, the operator interface panel 92 is a conventional mechanical keyboard with depressable keys. Alternatively, the cash settlement machine 90 may receive inputs from the operator through a touchscreen. Such a configuration is described in U.S. patent application Serial No. 08/467,585 entitled "Cash Settlement Machine" which is commonly owned and is herein incorporated by reference in its entirety. The keyboard and/or the touchscreen are used to enter data, or to instruct the cash settlement machine 90 to perform a function such as data manipulation or communication with a peripheral device. A graphics display monitor 94 displays numerous data for the operator including the status of the cash settlement machine 90, the information that is being manipulated, the operability of a peripheral device, etc.

Additionally, the controller 96 of the cash settlement machine 90 may record data to or retrieve data from a memory device 98. The memory device 98 contains numerous registers for storing blocks of information. For example, each register may be associated with a cash settlement transaction or a particular worker and is labeled accordingly by the operator. The memory device 98 can be external or internal to the cash settlement machine 90, but generally it is internal. The memory device 98 also contains the software which the controller 96 operates to perform desired functions, including software used to communicate with the peripheral devices such as the currency handling system 10.

The types of data sent between the cash settlement machine 90 and the currency handling machine 10 may comprise for example, the number of notes counted or the value of the notes scanned. However, as described briefly above, the cash settlement machine 90 may also be used to remotely alter the operating characteristics of the currency handling system 10 through the use of control signals.

The remote altering of the sensitivity and density levels is especially useful when the operator of the cash settlement machine 90 is remotely located from the currency handling system 10 (in another room or a different building). The cash settlement machine 90 is also useful when the currency handling machine 10 comprises a prior art counter which only counts notes and has no means for determining denomination. In this situation, the operator of the cash settlement machine 90 knows that a certain denomination will be processed at the counter and so instructs the cash settlement machine 90. The cash settlement machine 90, upon receiving this instruction from the operator, sends a signal to the counter indicating the denomination that is to be processed. The counter then generates (in "learn" mode) or selects (in "standard" mode) the master information corresponding to the denomination to be processed. For example, the operator may enter at the host system that \$20 notes will be processed. The host then relays to the counter that \$20 notes will be counted. In learn mode, the counter then evaluates the representative set of \$20 notes and generates a set of master information corresponding to the \$20 notes. In standard mode, the counter evaluates the \$20 notes with respect to the master information appropriate to \$20 notes.

In the situation in which the currency handling system 10 comprises a denomination discriminator or enhanced counter, the operator does not need to enter the value of the notes to be evaluated. The operator may nevertheless still desire to send control signals, such as the override signal, attribute-selection signal or authentication mode selection signal to the currency handling system 10 as well as receive information from the currency handling system 10.

To accomplish the above-identified communication functions, the currency handling machine 10 must have the ability to react to signals received from the cash settlement machine 90. Therefore, in one embodiment, the currency handling machine 10 has an electrical port to which a communications cable (attached to the host system) is connected. The electrical port is coupled to the controller of the currency handling machine 10. Use of an established communications protocol allows the currency handling machine 10 to detect multiple signals from the cash settlement machine 90, differentiate between the signals, and perform the function associated with a given signal. Additionally, the protocol also may permit the sending of a counterfeit detection signal

to the cash settlement machine 90 when the currency handling machine 10 processes a note that falls outside the proper threshold levels. These signals are sent via the electrical port and the communications cable.

FIG. 11 illustrates an optical sensing system which may be used according to one embodiment of the present invention to detect the size of a currency bill under test. The authentication or discrimination of currency based on size is particularly useful in foreign markets in which the size of individual bills varies with their denomination. The size detection method includes a light emitter 62 adapted to send a light signal 64 toward a light sensor 66. The sensor 66 produces a signal which is amplified by amplifier 68 to produce a signal V_1 proportional to the amount of light passing between the emitter and sensor. A currency bill 70 is advanced across the optical path between the light emitter 62 and light sensor 66, causing a variation in the intensity of light received by the sensor 66. As will be appreciated, the bill 70 may be advanced across the optical path along its longer dimension or narrow dimension, respectively, depending on whether it is desired to measure the length or width of the bill.

At time t_1 , before the bill 70 has begun to cross the path between the light emitter 62 and sensor 66, the amplified sensor signal V_1 is proportional to the maximum intensity of light received by the sensor 66. The maximum V_1 signal is digitized by an analog-to-digital converter and provided to the microprocessor 12, which divides it by two to define a V_3 signal, equal to one-half of the maximum value of V_1 , as a reference to a comparator 74. The other input to the comparator 74 is provided by the amplified sensor signal V_1 which represents the varying intensity of light received by the sensor 66 as the bill 70 crosses the path between the emitter 62 and sensor 66. In the comparator 74, the varying sensor signal V_1 is compared to the V_3 reference, and an output signal is provided to an interrupt device whenever the varying sensor signal V_1 falls above or below the V_3 reference.

As can be seen more clearly in FIG. 12, the interrupt device thereafter produces a pulse 76 beginning at time t_2 (when the varying sensor signal V_1 falls below the V_3 reference) and ending at time t_3 (when the varying sensor signal V_1 rises above the V_3 reference). The length of the pulse 76 occurring between time t_2 and t_3 is computed by the microprocessor 12 with reference to a series of timer pulses from the encoder 14

(e.g., FIG. 1 or FIG. 8). More specifically, at time t_2 , the microprocessor 12 begins to count the number of timer pulses received from the encoder and at time t_3 the microprocessor stops counting. The number of encoder pulses counted during the interval from time t_2 to time t_3 thereby represents the width of the bill 70 (if fed along its narrow dimension) or length of the bill 70 (if fed along its longer dimension).

It has been found that light intensity and/or sensor sensitivity will typically degrade throughout the life of the light emitter 62 and light sensor 66, causing the amplified sensor signal V_1 to become attenuated over time. The V_1 signal can be further attenuated by dust accumulation on the emitter or sensor. One of the advantages of the above-described size detection method is that it is independent of such variations in light intensity or sensor sensitivity. This is because the comparator reference V_3 is not a fixed value, but rather is logically related to the maximum value of V_1 . When the maximum value of V_1 attenuates due to degradation of the light source, dust accumulation, etc., V_3 is correspondingly attenuated because its value is always equal to one-half of the maximum value of V_1 . Consequently, the width of the pulse derived from the comparator output with respect to a fixed length bill will remain consistent throughout the life of the machine, independent of the degradation of the light source 62 and sensor 66.

FIG. 13 portrays an alternative circuit which may be used to detect the size of a currency bill under test. In FIG. 13, the method of size detection is substantially similar to that described in relation to FIG. 11 except that it uses analog rather than digital signals as an input to the comparator 74. A diode D1 is connected at one end to the output of the amplifier 68 and at another end to a capacitor C1 connected to ground. A resistor R1 is connected at one end between the diode D1 and capacitor C1. Another end of resistor R1 is connected to a resistor R2 in parallel with the reference input 78 of comparator 74. If R1 and R2 are equal, the output voltage V_3 on the reference input 78 will be one-half of the peak voltage output from amplifier 68. In the comparator 74, the varying sensor signal is compared to the output voltage V_3 , and an output signal is provided to an interrupt device whenever the varying sensor signal falls above or below the V_3 reference. Thereafter, a pulse 76 is produced by the interrupt device and the length of the pulse 76 is determined by the microprocessor 12 counting the number of

timer pulses occurring during the pulse, as described in relation to FIGs. 4 and 5. In the circuit of FIG. 13, as in the circuit of FIG. 11, the signal V_3 is proportional to V_1 and the width of pulses derived from the comparator output are independent of the degradation of the light source 62 and sensor 66.

FIGs. 14 and 15 illustrate another form of optical sensing system, designated generally by reference numeral 100, which may be used to detect the size and/or position of a currency bill under test. The system 100 may be used alternatively or in addition to any of the other sensing systems heretofore described. The system 100, like the systems of FIG. 11 through 13, is particularly useful in foreign markets in which the size of individual bills varies with their denomination. The system 100 is also useful in applications which require precise bill position information such as, for example, where an attribute of the bills (*e.g.*, color, density, thread location *etc.*) varies according to the position of the bill relative to the sensors.

The system 100 includes two photo-sensitive linear arrays 102a, 102b mounted on a printed circuit board 106. The linear arrays 102a, 102b each consists of multiple photosensing elements (or "pixels") aligned end-to-end along respective lines 104a, 104b. The arrays 102a, 102b, having respective lengths L_1 and L_2 , are positioned on the circuit board 106 such that they are co-linear and separated by a gap "g." In one embodiment, the linear arrays 102a, 102b each comprise 512-element Texas Instruments model TSL 218 arrays, commercially available from Texas Instruments, Inc., Dallas, Texas. In the TSL 218 arrays, each pixel represents an area of about 5 mils in length, thus the arrays 102a, 102b have respective lengths L_1 and L_2 of 2.5 inches. In one embodiment, the gap g between the arrays is about 2 inches. In this embodiment, therefore, the distance between the left ends of array 102a and the right end of array 102b is seven inches ($L_1 + L_2 + g$), thus providing the system 100 with the ability to accommodate bills of up to seven inches in length. It will be appreciated that the system 100 may be designed with a single array and/or may use array(s) having fewer or greater numbers of elements, having a variety of alternative lengths L_1 and L_2 and/or having a variety of gap sizes (including, for instance, a gap size of zero).

The operation of system 100 is best illustrated in FIG. 15. The arrays 102a, 102b comprise a portion of an upper head assembly 108 of the currency evaluation machine,

positioned above the transport path of a currency bill 110. A light source 112, which in one embodiment comprises a fluorescent light tube, is positioned below and substantially parallel to the upper head assembly 108 and transport path. It will be appreciated that the illustrated embodiment may be applied to systems having non-horizontal (*e.g.*, vertical) transport paths by positioning the arrays 102a, 102b and light source 112 on opposite sides (*e.g.*, left and right) of the transport path.

The individual pixels in the arrays 102a, 102b are adapted to detect the presence or absence of light transmitted from the light tube 112. In one embodiment, gradient index lens arrays 114a, 114b are mounted between the light tube 112 and the respective sensor arrays 102a, 102b. The gradient index lens arrays 114a, 114b maximize the accuracy of the system 100 by focusing light from the light tube 112 onto the photo-sensing elements and filtering out extraneous light, reflections, *etc.* which may otherwise adversely affect the accuracy of the system 100. Alternatively, less accurate but relatively reliable measurements may be obtained by replacing the gradient index lens arrays 114a, 114b with simpler, less expensive filters such as, for example, a plate (not shown) with aligned holes or a continuous slot allowing passage of light from the light tube 112 to the arrays 102a, 102b.

When no bill is present between the light tube 112 and the arrays 102a, 102b, all of the photo-sensing elements are directly exposed to light. When a currency bill 110 is advanced along the transport path between the light tube 112 and the arrays 102a, 102b, a certain number of the photo-sensing elements will be blocked from light. The number of pixels blocked from light will determine the size of the bill 110. The bill 110 may be advanced across the optical path along its longer dimension, as illustrated in FIG. 15, or its narrow dimension. Moreover, the bill 110 may be advanced across the optical path in either a forward direction (*e.g.*, "into the page" relative to FIG. 15) or a reverse direction (*e.g.*, "out of the page" relative to FIG. 15). In the illustrated embodiment, the currency size is computed according to the following formula:

$$\text{Size} = [(\# \text{ of pixels blocked in array 102b}) + (\# \text{ of pixels blocked in array 102a})] \times [\text{pixel size}] + [\text{gap size}].$$

Thus, with a gap size of 2 inches and an individual pixel size of about 5 mils, the formula is as follows:

Size = [(# of pixels blocked in array 102b) + (# of pixels blocked in array 102a)]
x [5 mils] + [2 inches].

The number of pixels blocked from light in the respective arrays 102a, 102b may also be used to determine the longitudinal position of the bill relative to the sensor arrays 102a, 102b. Position is measured by how far the bill is from center. A perfectly centered bill (*e.g.*, positioned directly underneath the arrays such that equal numbers of pixels are blocked in each respective array 102a, 102b) is assigned a position of 0. Bills shifted to the left (toward array 102a) are assigned negative positions and bills shifted to the right (toward array 102a) are assigned positive positions. Thus, for example, a bill shifted to the left by 0.5 inches is assigned a position of - 0.5 inches, whereas a bill shifted to the right by 0.5 inches is assigned a position of + 0.5 inches. The accuracy of the position measurement is limited by the pixel size. Thus, for example, where the pixel size is 5 mils, the position can be determined to within plus-or-minus 5 mils. More particularly, with a pixel size of 5 mils, the currency position is computed according to the following formula:

Position = [(# of pixels blocked in array 102b) - (# of pixels blocked in array 102a)] x (5 mils)/2.

While the present invention has been described with reference to one or more particular embodiments, those skilled in the art will recognize that many changes may be made thereto without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention. Each of these embodiments and obvious variations thereof is contemplated as falling within the spirit and scope of the claimed invention, which is set forth in the following claims.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A currency denominating machine operable in a learn mode and a standard mode, said currency denominating machine comprising:

one or more learn mode sensors including means for scanning in said learn mode

5 master currency bills of a first and second currency type and means for obtaining in said learn mode master information associated with said master currency bills;

a memory for storing said master information;

one or more standard mode sensors including means for scanning in said standard mode a test bill and means for obtaining in said standard mode test data associated with

10 said test bill; and

a processor including means for denominating said test bill in said standard mode by comparing said test data to said master information.

2. The currency denominating machine of claim 1 wherein the respective first and second currency types comprise bills from different countries.

15 3. The currency denominating machine of claim 1 further comprising an output receptacle for receiving in said standard mode the test bill and for receiving in said learn mode the master currency bills after they have been processed by the currency denominating machine.

4. The currency denominating machine of claim 1 including one or more
20 sensors operable in both said learn mode and said standard mode, said sensors being operable in said learn mode as said learn mode sensors and operable in said standard mode as said standard mode sensors.

5. A currency denominating machine operable in a learn mode and a standard mode, said currency denominating machine comprising:

25 an input receptacle for receiving currency bills to be evaluated, said currency bills including in a first operation of said learn mode a master currency bill of a first currency type, said currency bills including in a second operation of said learn mode a master currency bill of a second currency type, said currency bills including in said standard mode a test bill;

30 an output receptacle for receiving in said learn mode the master currency bills and for receiving in said standard mode the test bill after said bills have been evaluated;

a transport mechanism for transporting in said learn mode the master currency bills and for transporting in said standard mode the test bill, from said input receptacle to said output receptacle along a transport path;

one or more sensors positioned along said transport path between said input
5 receptacle and said output receptacle, said sensors including a learn mode sensor and a standard mode sensor, the learn mode sensor including means for scanning in said learn mode said master currency bills to obtain master information associated with said master currency bills, the standard mode sensor including means for scanning in said standard mode said test bill to obtain test data associated with said test bill;

10 a memory for storing said master information; and

a processor including means for determining the denomination of said test bill in said standard mode by comparing said test data to said master information.

6. The currency denominating machine of claim 5 wherein each of said one or more sensors is operable in said learn mode as said learn mode sensor and operable in said
15 standard mode as said standard mode sensor.

7. A currency authenticating machine operable in a learn mode and a standard mode, said currency authenticating machine comprising:

one or more learn mode sensors including means for scanning in said learn mode master currency bills of a first and second currency type and means for obtaining in said
20 learn mode master information associated with said master currency bills;

one or more standard mode sensors including means for scanning in said standard mode a test bill to obtain test data associated with said test bill;

a memory for storing said master information; and

a processor including means for determining the authenticity of said test bill in said
25 standard mode by comparing said test data to said master information.

8. The currency authenticating machine of claim 7 wherein the respective first and second currency types comprise bills from different countries.

9. The currency authenticating machine of claim 7 further including an output receptacle for receiving in said standard mode the test bill after it has been processed by the
30 currency authenticating machine.

10. The currency authenticating machine of claim 9 wherein the output receptacle receives in said learn mode the master currency bills after they have been processed by the currency authenticating machine.

11. The currency authenticating machine of claim 7 including a plurality of
5 output receptacles, one of the plurality of output receptacles receiving in said standard mode the test bill after it has been processed by the currency authenticating machine.

12. The currency authenticating machine of claim 11 wherein a selected one or more of the output receptacles receive in said learn mode the master currency bills after they have been processed by the currency authenticating machine.

10 13. The currency authenticating machine of claim 9 further including an input receptacle for receiving bills to be processed by the currency authenticating machine in said learn mode and said standard mode, the master currency bills returning to the input receptacle in said learn mode after they have been processed by the currency authenticating machine.

15 14. The currency authenticating machine of claim 9 wherein the output receptacle comprises a single output receptacle.

15. The currency authenticating machine of claim 7 including one or more dual-function sensors operable in both said learn mode and said standard mode, said dual-function sensors being operable in said learn mode as one of said learn mode sensors and
20 operable in said standard mode as one of said standard mode sensors.

16. A currency authenticating machine operable in a learn mode and a standard mode, said currency authenticating machine comprising:

an input receptacle for receiving currency bills to be evaluated, said currency bills including in a first operation of said learn mode a master currency bill of a first currency
25 type, said currency bills including in a second operation of said learn mode a master currency bill of a second currency type, said currency bills including in said standard mode a test bill;

an output receptacle for receiving in said learn mode the master currency bills and for receiving in said standard mode the test bill after said bills have been processed;

a transport mechanism for transporting in said learn mode the master currency bills and for transporting in said standard mode the test bill, from said input receptacle to said output receptacle along a transport path;

one or more sensors positioned along said transport path between said input
5 receptacle and said output receptacle, said sensors including a learn mode sensor and a standard mode sensor, the learn mode sensor including means for scanning said master currency bills in said learn mode to obtain master information associated with said master currency bills, the standard mode sensor including means for scanning said test bill in said standard mode to obtain test data associated with said test bill;

10 a memory for storing said master information; and

a processor including means for determining the authenticity of said test bill in said standard mode by comparing said test data to said master information.

17. The currency authenticating machine of claim 16 wherein each of said one or more sensors is operable in said learn mode as said learn mode sensor and operable in
15 said standard mode as said standard mode sensor.

18. A method of authenticating currency using a currency authenticating device, the method comprising the steps of:

scanning a plurality of types of master currency bills to obtain master authentication information associated with said master currency bills;

20 storing said master authentication information in a resident memory of the currency authenticating device;

scanning test bills to obtain test authentication data associated with said test bills; and

determining the authenticity of said test bills by comparing the test authentication
25 data to the master authentication information.

19. The currency authenticating method of claim 18 wherein the plurality of types of master currency bills comprises a plurality of denominations of master currency bills.

20. The currency authenticating method of claim 18 wherein the plurality of
30 types of master currency bills comprises a plurality of denominations of master currency bills from a first family of countries.

21. The currency authenticating method of claim 18 wherein said master information includes one or more numerical threshold values to be used in determining the authenticity of said test bills.

22. A method of authenticating currency using a currency authenticating device,
5 the method comprising the steps of:

scanning, under control of the currency authenticating device, a first family of master currency bills to obtain master information associated with said first family of master currency bills;

deriving, under control of the currency authenticating device, a plurality of
10 numerical thresholds from said master information,

storing, under control of the currency authenticating device, said numerical thresholds in a resident memory of the currency authenticating device;

scanning a test bill to obtain test data associated with said test bill, said test bill comprising one of said first family of currency bills; and

15 determining the authenticity of said test bill by comparing the test data obtained from said test bill to the numerical thresholds corresponding to the type of said test bill.

23. The currency authenticating method of claim 22 wherein said numerical thresholds include upper and lower threshold numbers defining respective upper and lower limits of acceptability of said test bill, a determination of authenticity being made regarding
20 said test bill when the test data obtained from said test bill is between the upper and lower threshold numbers.

24. The currency authenticating method of claim 23 further comprising the steps of:

scanning a reference object to obtain one or more reference data values;

25 dividing said numerical thresholds and said test data by said reference data values to define respective normalized numerical thresholds and normalized test data; and

determining the authenticity of said test bill by comparing the normalized test data to the normalized numerical thresholds.

25. The currency authenticating method of claim 24 wherein the resident
30 memory comprises a flash memory, the method further comprising the steps of:

electrically coupling a first flash card to said resident flash memory, said first flash card having a flash card memory therein, said normalized numerical thresholds being copied from said resident flash memory to said flash card memory in response to electrically coupling said first flash card to said resident flash memory;

5 uncoupling said first flash card from said resident flash memory; and

electrically coupling said first flash card to a secondary currency authenticating machine, said normalized numerical thresholds being copied from said flash card memory to flash memories of the secondary currency authenticating machine in response to the first flash card being electrically coupled to the secondary currency authenticating machine.

10 26. The currency authenticating method of claim 25 further comprising the steps of:

scanning a test bill in said secondary machine to obtain test data associated with said test bill, said test bill comprising one of said first family of currency bills;

15 scanning a reference object in said secondary machine to obtain one or more reference data values;

dividing said test data by said reference data values in said secondary machine to define normalized test data; and

determining the authenticity of said test bill in said secondary machine by comparing the normalized test data to the normalized numerical thresholds.

20 27. The currency authenticating method of claim 24 wherein the resident memory comprises a flash memory, the method further comprising the steps of:

electrically coupling a flash card to said resident flash memory, said flash card having a flash card memory therein, said normalized numerical thresholds being copied from said resident flash memory to said flash card memory in response to electrically coupling said flash card to said resident flash memory;

25 uncoupling said flash card from said resident flash memory; and

electrically coupling said flash card to a secondary currency authenticating machine, said normalized numerical thresholds being copied from said flash card memory to flash memories of the secondary currency authenticating machine in response to the flash card being electrically coupled to the secondary currency authenticating machine.

30

28. The currency authenticating method of claim 22 wherein the resident memory comprises a flash memory, the method further comprising the steps of:

electrically coupling a second flash card to said resident flash memory, said second flash card having a flash card memory therein, the flash card memory of said second flash card having normalized numerical thresholds associated with a second family of currency, said normalized numerical thresholds associated with said second family of currency being copied from said resident flash memory to said flash card memory and replacing the normalized numerical thresholds associated with said first family of currency in response to electrically coupling said second flash card to said resident flash memory;

scanning a test bill to obtain test data associated with said test bill, said test bill comprising one of said second family of currency bills; and

determining the authenticity of said test bill by comparing the test data obtained from said test bill to the numerical thresholds corresponding to the type of said test bill.

29. A currency evaluation device operable in a learn mode to process bills and acquire master information from said bills, said currency evaluation device comprising:

an input receptacle for receiving bills to be processed in said learn mode;

an output receptacle for receiving the bills after said bills have been processed in said learn mode;

a transport mechanism for transporting the bills in said learn mode from said input receptacle to said output receptacle along a transport path;

one or more sensors positioned along said transport path between said input receptacle and said output receptacle, the sensors including means for scanning the bills processed in said learn mode to obtain master information associated with said bills; and

a memory for storing in said learn mode the master information obtained from said bills.

30. The device of claim 29 wherein the memory includes prior to a designated operation of said learn mode master information associated with a first currency type, the bills processed in said designated operation of said learn mode comprising bills of a second currency type, the memory storing after said designated operation of said learn mode master information associated with bills of said first and second currency types.

31. A currency authenticating device comprising:

means for scanning, under the control of the currency authenticating device, a plurality of types of master currency bills to obtain master authentication information associated with said master currency bills;

means for storing said master authentication information in a resident memory of
5 the currency authenticating device;

means for scanning, under the control of the currency authenticating device, test bills to obtain test authentication data associated with said test bills; and

means for determining the authenticity of said test bills by comparing the test authentication data to the master authentication information.

10 32. A currency authenticating device comprising:

means for scanning, under control of the currency authenticating device, a first family of master currency bills to obtain master information associated with said first family of master currency bills;

15 means for deriving, under control of the currency authenticating device, a plurality of numerical thresholds from said master information,

means for storing, under control of the currency authenticating device, said numerical thresholds in a resident memory of the currency authenticating device;

20 means for scanning, under control of the currency authenticating device, a test bill to obtain test data associated with said test bill, said test bill comprising one of said first family of currency bills; and

means for determining the authenticity of said test bill by comparing the test data obtained from said test bill to the numerical thresholds corresponding to the type of said test bill.

25 33. A currency denominating device comprising:

means for scanning, under the control of the currency denominating device, a plurality of types of master currency bills to obtain master denomination information associated with said master currency bills;

means for storing said master denomination information in a resident memory of the currency denominating device;

30 means for scanning, under the control of the currency denominating device, test bills to obtain test denomination data associated with said test bills; and

means for determining the denomination of said test bills by comparing the test denomination data to the master denomination information.

34. A currency denominating device comprising:

means for scanning, under control of the currency denominating device, a first
5 family of master currency bills to obtain master information associated with said first family of master currency bills;

means for deriving, under control of the currency denominating device, a plurality of numerical thresholds from said master information,

means for storing, under control of the currency denominating device, said
10 numerical thresholds in a resident memory of the currency denominating device;

means for scanning, under control of the currency denominating device, a test bill to obtain test data associated with said test bill, said test bill comprising one of said first family of currency bills; and

means for determining the denomination of said test bill by comparing the test
15 data obtained from said test bill to the numerical thresholds corresponding to the type of said test bill.

35. A size-detecting note counter for counting a stack of test currency bills having the same denomination, said note counter comprising:

a light source in optical alignment with a sensor, said light source being adapted to
20 direct a light beam along an optical path toward said sensor such that an amount of said light beam is detected by said sensor;

a transport mechanism for progressively advancing individual ones of said test currency bills across said optical path, the amount of said light beam detected by said sensor varying in response to the position of said individual test currency bills;

25 an amplifier for amplifying the amount of said light beam detected by said sensor to define an amplified sensor signal associated with each of said individual test currency bills;

a comparator for comparing said amplified sensor signal to a reference signal, said comparator triggering production of a pulse in response to said amplified sensor signal falling below said reference signal, said comparator triggering termination of said
30 pulse in response to said amplified sensor signal rising above said reference signal;

a processor for determining the duration of said pulses corresponding to said individual test currency bills, the duration of said pulses corresponding to the size of said test bills, said processor being adapted to make an initial determination of the denomination of said stack of test bills by comparing the size of a first plurality of said test bills to the sizes of the different denominations of master currency bills, said processor subsequently being adapted to determine the authenticity of a second plurality of said test bills by comparing test data associated with each of said second plurality of test bills to the threshold data corresponding to the denomination of said test bills obtained by said initial determination, said processor further being adapted to count the number of authentic test bills in said stack.

36. A size-detecting note counter for counting a stack of test currency bills having the same denomination, said note counter being operable in a learn mode and a standard mode, said note counter comprising:

a light source in optical alignment with a sensor, said light source being adapted to direct a light beam along an optical path toward said sensor such that an amount of said light beam is detected by said sensor;

a transport mechanism for progressively advancing individual currency bills across said optical path, the amount of said light beam detected by said sensor varying in response to the position of said individual currency bills, said individual currency bills comprising master currency bills in said learn mode and test currency bills in said standard mode;

an amplifier for amplifying the amount of said light beam detected by said sensor to define an amplified sensor signal associated with each of said individual currency bills;

a comparator for comparing said amplified sensor signal to a reference signal, said comparator triggering production of a pulse in response to said amplified sensor signal falling below said reference signal, said comparator triggering termination of said pulse in response to said amplified sensor signal rising above said reference signal;

a processor for determining the duration of said pulses corresponding to said individual currency bills, the duration of said pulses in said learn mode corresponding to the sizes of different denominations of said master currency bills, the duration of said pulses in said standard mode corresponding to the size of said test bills, said processor being adapted in said learn mode to derive threshold data from the size of said master

currency bills, each item of said threshold data corresponding to the size of a particular denomination of said master currency bills, said processor being adapted in said standard mode to make an initial determination of the denomination of said test bills by comparing the size of said test bills to the sizes of the different denominations of master
5 currency bills.

37. The note counter of claim 36 wherein said processor automatically selects an authentication sensitivity level corresponding to the initial determination of denomination of said currency bills, said processor determining the authenticity of said test bills by comparing test data associated with each of said test bills to the threshold data
10 corresponding to the selected sensitivity level.

38. The note counter of claim 36 in which the transport mechanism advances said individual currency bills in their longer dimension across said optical path, the size of said individual currency bills being defined by the length of said individual currency bills.

39. The note counter of claim 36 in which the transport mechanism advances said
15 individual currency bills in their narrow dimension across said optical path, the size of said individual currency bills being defined by the width of said individual currency bills.6. The note counter of claim 2 further comprising means for displaying the cumulative value of said currency bills.

40. The note counter of claim 36 wherein said reference signal is proportional to
20 a maximum value of said amplified sensor signal.

41. The note counter of claim 40 wherein said reference signal is one-half the maximum value of said amplified sensor signal.

42. The note counter of claim 36 wherein the processor is adapted in said standard mode to determine the authenticity of said test bills by comparing test data
25 associated with each of said test bills to the threshold data corresponding to the denomination of said test bills obtained by said initial determination, said processor further being adapted in said standard mode to count the number of authentic test bills in said stack.

43. A currency denominating machine operable in a learn mode and a standard
30 mode, said currency denominating machine comprising:

one or more learn mode sensors adapted in said learn mode to scan a master currency bill to obtain master information associated with said master currency bill;

one or more standard mode sensors adapted in said standard mode to scan a test bill to obtain test data associated with said test bill;

5 a memory for storing said master information; and

a processor adapted in said standard mode to determine the denomination of said test bill by comparing the test data to the master information.

44. The currency denominating machine of claim 43 including one or more dual-function sensors operable in both said learn mode and said standard mode, said dual-function sensors being operable in said learn mode as one of said learn mode sensors and operable in said standard mode as one of said standard mode sensors.

45. The currency denominating machine of claim 43 wherein the learn mode sensors are adapted to scan a plurality of master currency bills to obtain master information associated with the master currency bills, the standard mode sensors are adapted to scan a plurality of test bills to obtain test data associated with said test bills, and the processor is adapted to determine the denomination of each of said test bills by comparing the test data to the master information.

46. The currency denominating machine of claim 43 wherein the memory is a resident flash memory.

47. A currency denominating machine operable in a learn mode and a standard mode, said currency denominating machine comprising:

a learn mode sensor adapted in said learn mode to scan a master currency bill having a denomination and to generate a master information signal associated with the master currency bill;

25 a memory for storing master information;

a processor adapted in said learn mode to receive the master information signal from the learn mode sensor and to store master denomination information in the memory associated with the denomination of the master currency bill; and

a standard mode sensor adapted in said standard mode to scan a test bill and to generate a test bill data signal associated with the test bill;

wherein the processor is adapted in said standard mode to receive the test bill data signal, generate test denomination information associated with the test bill, compare the test denomination information to the master denomination information, and determine the denomination of said test bill when the test denomination information satisfactorily
5 compares with the master denomination information.

48. A currency authenticating machine operable in a learn mode and a standard mode, said currency authenticating machine comprising:

one or more sensors adapted in said learn mode to scan master currency bills to
10 obtain master information associated with one or more attributes of said master currency bills,

one or more sensors adapted in said standard mode to scan test bills to obtain test data associated with one or more attributes of said test bills;

a processor adapted in said standard mode to determine the authenticity of each of
15 said test bills by comparing the test data associated with a selected one or more of said attributes to the master information corresponding to the selected one or more of said attributes; and

a resident flash memory for storing said master information.

49. The currency authenticating machine of claim 48 wherein said master
20 information includes a plurality of numerical threshold values to be used in determining the authenticity of said test bills, each of said threshold values corresponding to a value of one of said attributes in a particular denomination of currency.

50. The currency authenticating machine of claim 48 wherein said processor is adapted in said learn mode to derive a plurality of numerical thresholds from said master
25 information, each of said numerical thresholds corresponding to a value of one of said attributes in a particular denomination of currency.

51. The currency authenticating machine of claim 50 wherein said numerical thresholds include upper and lower threshold numbers defining respective upper and lower limits of acceptability of said test bills, a positive determination of authenticity being made
30 regarding individual ones of said test bills when a numerical value of test data associated

with the selected attribute in said individual test bill is between the upper and lower threshold numbers associated with said selected attribute.

52. The currency authenticating machine of claim 48 further comprising a communications port for receiving a plurality of control signals from an end user.

53. The currency authenticating machine of claim 52 wherein said plurality of control signals includes one or more override signals for establishing alternate master information for said currency authenticating machine, the determination of authenticity of said test bills being made by comparing the test data associated with said selected one of said attributes to the alternate master information associated with said selected attribute.

54. The currency authenticating machine of claim 52 wherein said plurality of control signals includes an attribute-selection signal for selecting the attributes of said bills for which said master information will be obtained, said attribute-selection signal being separately definable for separate denominations of said bills.

55. The currency authenticating machine of claim 52 wherein said plurality of control signals includes an authentication mode selection signal for selecting which items of said threshold data will be used in authentication of said test bills, said authentication mode selection signal being separately definable for separate denominations of said bills.

56. The currency authenticating machine of claim 48 wherein at least one of the items of said master information corresponds to the length of said master currency bills, wherein an initial determination of authenticity is made regarding said test bills based on a comparison of the length of said test bills to the items of master information corresponding to the length of said master bills.

57. The currency authenticating machine of claim 48 wherein at least one of the items of said master information corresponds to the width of said master currency bills, wherein an initial determination of authenticity is made regarding said test bills based on a comparison of the width of said test bills to the items of master information corresponding to the width of said master bills.

58. The currency authenticating machine of claim 50 wherein said sensors are adapted to scan a reference object to obtain one or more reference data values each corresponding to respective attributes of said reference object, said processor being adapted to divide said numerical thresholds and said test data by said reference data

values to define normalized numerical thresholds and normalized test data associated with said one or more attributes, said processor being adapted to determine the authenticity of said test bills by comparing the normalized test data associated with a selected one of said attributes to the normalized numerical thresholds associated with the selected one of said attributes.

59. The currency authenticating machine of claim 58 further comprising:

a flash card having a flash card memory; and

a socket adapted to removably receive said flash card therein, said socket being electrically coupled to said resident flash memory of said currency authenticating machine, wherein said normalized numerical thresholds are copied from said resident flash memory to said flash card memory in response to said flash card being inserted into said socket, said flash card thereafter being adapted to be removed from said socket and electrically coupled to a plurality of secondary currency authenticating machines, said normalized numerical thresholds being copied from said flash card memory to the resident flash memories of the secondary currency authenticating machines in response to the flash card being electrically coupled to the plurality of secondary currency authenticating machines.

60. In combination, the currency authenticating machine of claim 59 and a plurality of secondary currency authenticating machines, each of said secondary currency authenticating machines being operable in said standard mode and comprising:

a resident flash memory for storing said normalized numerical thresholds received from said flash card;

one or more sensors for scanning test bills to obtain test data associated with one or more attributes of said test bills, said sensors further being adapted to scan a reference object to obtain reference data values associated with one or more attributes of said

reference object; and

a processor for dividing individual items of said test data by said reference data values to define normalized test data associated with said one or more attributes, said processor being adapted to determine the authenticity of said test bills by comparing the normalized test data associated with a selected one or more of said attributes to the normalized numerical thresholds associated with the selected one or more of said attributes.

61. A currency authenticating method comprising the steps of:
scanning master currency bills to obtain master information associated with one
or more attributes of said master currency bills;

storing said master information in a resident flash memory;

5 scanning test bills to obtain test data corresponding to the value of at least one of
said attributes in each of said test bills; and

determining the authenticity of each of said test bills by comparing the test data
associated with a selected one or more of said attributes to the master information
corresponding to the selected one or more of said attributes.

10 62. The currency authenticating method of claim 61 wherein at least one item of
said master information corresponds to the length of said master currency bills, an initial
determination of authenticity being made regarding said test bills based on a comparison
of the length of said test bills to the master information corresponding to the length of
said master bills.

15 63. The currency authenticating method of claim 62 wherein master information
corresponding to an attribute other than length is used to validate the initial determination
of authenticity of said test bills.

64. The currency authenticating method of claim 61 wherein said master
information includes a plurality of numerical threshold values to be used in determining
20 the authenticity of said test bills, each of said threshold values corresponding to a value
of one of said attributes in a particular denomination of currency.

65. A currency authenticating method comprising the steps of:

scanning master currency bills to obtain master information associated with one
or more attributes of said master currency bills;

25 deriving a plurality of numerical thresholds from said master information, each of
said numerical thresholds corresponding to a value of one of said attributes in a particular
denomination of currency,

storing said numerical thresholds in a resident flash memory;

30 scanning test bills to obtain test data corresponding to the value of at least one of
said attributes in each of said test bills; and

determining the authenticity of each of said test bills by comparing the test data associated with a selected one or more of said attributes to the numerical thresholds corresponding to the selected one or more of said attributes.

66. The currency authenticating method of claim 65 wherein said numerical
5 thresholds include upper and lower threshold numbers defining respective upper and lower limits of acceptability of said test bills, a determination of authenticity being made regarding each of said test bills when the test data associated with the selected attribute of said test bill is between the upper and lower threshold numbers associated with said selected attribute.

10 67. The currency authenticating method of claim 65 further comprising the steps of:

scanning a reference object to obtain one or more reference data values corresponding to respective attributes of said reference object;

dividing said numerical thresholds and said test data by said reference data values
15 to define respective normalized numerical thresholds and normalized test data associated with said one or more attributes; and

determining the authenticity of said test bills by comparing the normalized test data associated with a selected one of said attributes to the normalized numerical thresholds associated with the selected one of said attributes.

20 68. The currency authenticating method of claim 67 further comprising the steps of:

electrically coupling a flash card to said resident flash memory, said flash card having a flash card memory therein, said normalized numerical thresholds being copied from said resident flash memory to said flash card memory in response to electrically
25 coupling said flash card to said resident flash memory;

uncoupling said flash card from said resident flash memory; and

electrically coupling said flash card to a plurality of secondary currency authenticating machines, said normalized numerical thresholds being copied from said flash card memory to respective resident flash memories of the secondary currency
30 authenticating machines in response to the flash card being electrically coupled to the plurality of secondary currency authenticating machines.

69. The currency authenticating method of claim 68 further comprising the steps of:


scanning test bills in each of said secondary machines to obtain test data associated with one or more attributes of said test bills;

5 scanning a reference object in each of said secondary machines to obtain reference data values associated with one or more attributes of said reference object;


dividing said test data by said reference data values in each of said secondary machines to define normalized test data associated with said one or more attributes; and determining the authenticity of said test bills in each of said secondary machines by

10 comparing the normalized test data associated with a selected one of said attributes to the normalized numerical thresholds associated with the selected one of said attributes.


1/16


FIG. 1

2/16




3/16

FIG. 3a



5/16

*FIG. 4a*

6/16




FIG. 4b

7/16





FIG. 5

8/16

FIG. 6

10/16







FIG. 8


11/16

*FIG. 9a**FIG. 9b*


12/16

*FIG. 10*

13/16

FIG. 11

14/16

**FIG. 12** TIMING DIAGRAM

15/16






FIG. 13

16/16

**FIG. 14****FIG. 15**

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US98/08326

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) : G07D 7/00

US CL : 194/207

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 194/206,207; 209/534; 382/135; 377/008

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5,295,196 A (RATERMAN et al.) 15 March 1994 (15.03.94) col. 8, lines 1-13 and col. 10, lines 14-30	1-23, 29-34, 43-45, 47
Y	"	24 - 28, 36 - 42, 46, 48-69
X	US 4,707,843 A (McDONALD et al.) 17 November 1987 (17.11.87), col. 11, lines 12-43	35, 56, 57, 62, 63
Y	"	36-42,
Y	US 5,580,311 A (HASTE, III) 03 December 1996 (03.12.96), col. 4, lines 32-60.	25-28, 46, 48-69

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.
 ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
B earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A* document member of the same patent family
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search 25 JUNE 1998	Date of mailing of the international search report 30 JUL 1998
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer <i>Patricia Hare</i> for F. J. BARTUSKA Telephone No. (703) 308-1111

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US98/08326

C. (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X,P Y,P	US 5,640,463 A (CSULITS) 17 June 1997 (17.06.97), col. 5, line 24 to col. 6, line 25.	58-60,67-69 24-27,